



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT  
( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Friday, the Twenty Second day of March Two Thousand Nineteen

PRESENT

**The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.DHANDAPANI**

**CRL OP (MD) No.4366 of 2019**

B.DHASARATHADEV

... PETITIONER / ACCUSED No. 2

Vs

STATE THROUGH  
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE  
VANGAL POLICE STATION,  
KARUR DISTRICT.  
CRIME NO.52/2019

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : MR. R.M. MAKESHKUMARAVEL Advocate

For Respondent : MR. K.SUYAMBULINGA BHARATHI,  
Government Advocate(Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence under Sections 120(B), 294 (b), 500, 506(i) of IPC and 67 of I.T.Act in Cr.No.52 of 2019, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The defacto complainant is the Director of Karur Vysya Bank. It is the case of the prosecution that there was some business transaction in between the petitioner's father and the defacto complainant in supplying sewing machine , due to which cases are pending before different courts regarding money dispute, therefore the petitioner and his father posted some defamatory statements in the social media. Hence the complaint

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner did not commit any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he is an innocent person.

4.The learned Government Advocate(Crl.side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that investigation is pending.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that there are no serious allegations against this petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail



to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, No.II, Karur on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation;

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-

22/03/2019

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO II, KARUR

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE  
KARUR

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE  
VANGAL POLICE STATION, KARUR DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,  
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to MR. R.M. MAKESHKUMARAVEL Advocate SR.No.5341

ORDER IN

CRL OP(MD) No.4366 of 2019

Date :22/03/2019