



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Friday, the Twenty Second day of March Two Thousand Nineteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRL OP(MD) No.4320 of 2019

T. KAVITHA

... PETITIONER/ ACCUSED No.2

Vs

REP THROUGH STATE,
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
TALLAKULAM POLICE STATION,
MADURAI-2.
Crime No.2563 of 2018

... RESPONDENT / DEFACTO
COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : Mr. TIRU.PUGALENDHI Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.S.CHANDRASEKAR, Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence under Sections 294(b), 406, 420 of IPC in Cr.No. 2563 of 2018 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The defacto complainant is the husband and the petitioner is wife. The case of the prosecution is that when the defacto complainant was in abroad, he used to send money to his wife and mother-in-law and thereafter the said money was not returned to the defacto complainant. Hence the complaint

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner did not commit any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he is an innocent person.

4.The learned Government Advocate(Crl.side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that investigation is pending.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that it seems to be a family dispute, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Madurai on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation;

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
22/03/2019

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S-II)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO II,



2. THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
MADURAI DISTRICT.

3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
TALLAKULAM POLICE STATION,
MADURAI-2.

4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to Mr. TIRU.PUGALENDHI Advocate SR.No.5464

ORDER

IN

CRL OP (MD) No.4320 of 2019

Date :22/03/2019

TK/PN/SAR-2/26.03.2019/3P/6C