



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Twentieth day of March Two Thousand Nineteen

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRL OP(MD) No.4239 of 2019

1 K.K.UMADEVAN,
2 MURUGANANTHAM @ GURU MURUGANANTHAM

... PETITIONERS / ACCUSED NO.1 & 2

Vs

THE STATE REP.BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
KARAIKUDI NORTH POLICE STATION,
SIVAGANGAI DISTRICT.
(CRIME.NO.99/2019)

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioners : Mr. K. ANBARASAN Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.K.SUYAMBULINGA BHARATHI Govt. Advocate
(Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners who are apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence under Sections 294(b) and 506(ii) of IPC, in Cr.No.99 of 2019, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner is the opposition party and he has introduction function of his party member in Sivagangai District, the defacto complainant has taken videograph of the entire function and the same was objected by the District Secretary, for which a complaint has been lodged to the respondent police.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners did not commit any offence as alleged by the prosecution and they are innocent persons. He would also submit that when the event was video graphed by the press reporters there was a wordy quarrel, in which a false complaint has been lodged against the petitioners.

4.The learned Government Advocate(Crl.side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that no one sustained injuries in the scene of occurrence. He would also submit that seven cases are



pending against the petitioners.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances and considering the fact that no one sustained injuries in the scene of occurrence, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Karaikudi, Sivagangai District on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30a.m for a period of one week and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

(c) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
20/03/2019

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



- PS/JC/SAR-2/22.03.2019/3P/6C

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>