



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Friday, the Fifteenth day of March Two Thousand Nineteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRL OP (MD) No.3928 of 2019

KANNAN

... PETITIONER / ACCUSED RANK NOT KNOWN

Vs

THE STATE REP. BY,
THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
RADHAPURAM POLICE STATION,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.
CR.NO.39 OF 2019

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : MR. S. BALAMURUGAN Advocate
For Respondent : MR.K.SUYAMBULINGA BHARATHI,
Government Advocate(Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.
ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence under Section 379 of IPC in Cr.No.39 of 2019, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.It is the case of the prosecution that the defacto complainant is the Wind Mill Supervisor and he alleged complaint stating that 535 meters of cable wires were stolen . Hence the complaint

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner did not commit any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he is an innocent person. He would also submit that based on the confession statement of the co-accused, this petitioner was implicated.

4.The learned Government Advocate(Crl.side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that stolen property was recovered.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that the stolen property was recovered, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Valliyoor, Tirunelveli District on



condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

WEB COPY

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m until further orders

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-

15/03/2019

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
VALLIYOOR, TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
TIRUNELVELI

3 THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
RADHAPURAM POLICE STATION,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to MR. S. BALAMURUGAN Advocate SR.No.4962

ORDER

IN

CRL OP (MD) No.3928 of 2019

Date :15/03/2019