



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Fourteenth day of March Two Thousand Nineteen

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRL OP(MD) No.3912 of 2019

KANNAN

... PETITIONER / ACCUSED RANK NOT KNOWN

Vs

THE STATE REP.BY
THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
RADHAPURAM POLICE STATION, RADHAPURAM,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO.15/2019)

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : Mr. S. BALAMURUGAN Advocate

For Respondent : Mr. Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences under Section 379 of IPC, in Cr.No.15 of 2019 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused persons stolen 450 kilograms of copper wires from the Wind Mill where the defacto complainant is working as a Supervisor. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he did not commit any offence as alleged by the prosecution. The petitioner was falsely implicated in this case. Accordingly, he prayed for anticipatory bail.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the State would submit that the defacto complainant filed a complaint stating that 450 Kilograms of copper wire was stolen from the Wind Mill. Thereafter, some portion of copper wire recovered.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



Accordingly, petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Valliyoor, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the concerned trial Court daily at 10.30 a.m. until further orders;

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-

14/03/2019

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, VALLIYOOR.
- 2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, TIRUNELVELI.
- 3 THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE
RADHAPURAM POLICE STATION, RADHAPURAM,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.
- 4 ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to Mr. S. BALAMURUGAN Advocate SR.No.4850
PS/JC/SAR-3/18.03.2019/2P/6C

ORDER

IN

CRL OP (MD) No.3912 of 2019

Date : 14/03/2019