



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Twenty Fifth day of March Two Thousand Nineteen

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRL OP(MD) No.3213 of 2019

VIJAY

... PETITIONER / SOLE ACCUSED

Vs

THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
KODANKULAM POLICE STATION,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.
(CRIME.NO.60/2019)

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT
... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : MR.S.SATHYA CHIDAMBARAM Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.K.SUYAMBULINGA BHARATHI Govt. Advocate
(Crl. Side)
PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence under Section 366(A) of IPC in Cr.No.60 of 2019, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.It is the case of the prosecution that the petitioner herein has forcibly took the defacto complainant daughter for a boat ride in the sea shore. Hence the complaint

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner did not commit any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he is an innocent person. He would also submit that both the petitioner and the defacto complainant's daughter are lovers, subsequently the defacto complainant's daughter refused to love the petitioner and hence there was a quarrel in between them, due to which a false complaint has been lodge, hence he prayed for anticipatory bail.

4.The learned Government Advocate(Crl.side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that investigation is pending.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that there are no serious allegations against the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be



released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Valliyoor, Tirunelveli District on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m until further orders;

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-

25/03/2019

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
VALLIYOOR, TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
KODANKULAM POLICE STATION, TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT .

4 ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to Mr.S.SATHYA CHIDAMBARAM Advocate SR.No.5446
PS/VR/SAR-4/27.03.2019/2P/6C

ORDER

IN

CRL OP (MD) No.3213 of 2019

Date : 25/03/2019