



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Twenty Fifth day of February Two Thousand Nineteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRL OP(MD) No.2876 of 2019

1 ARUMUGAM

2 SAROJA

... PETITIONERS / ACCUSED
2 and 3

Vs

THE STATE REP.BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
MEIGNANAPURAM POLICE STATION,
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.
Crime No.17/2019

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioners : Mr.C.SUSIKUMAR Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.K.SUYAMBULINGA BHARATHI
Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences under Sections 294(b), 326 & 506(ii) of IPC, in Cr.No.17 of 2019 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is due to family dispute, there was a quarrel arose between the first petitioner and the defacto complainant, in which the petitioners assaulted the defacto complainant. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they did not commit any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Accordingly, he prayed for anticipatory bail.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the State would submit that injured person in this case has been discharged from the hospital.



5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and since the injured person discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Sathankulam, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the first petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m. until further orders; the second petitioner shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation;

(c) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
25/02/2019

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
SATHANKULAM.

2. THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.

3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
MEIGNANAPURAM POLICE STATION,
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.

4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to Mr.C.SUSIKUMAR Advocate SR.No.3826

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.2876 of 2019

Date :25/02/2019

TK/JC/SAR-3/27.02.2019/3P/6C