



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Friday, the Twenty Second day of February Two Thousand Nineteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRL OP (MD) No.2783 of 2019

K.JEYAVELAN

... PETITIONER / ACCUSED
(RANK NOT KNOWN)

Vs

STATE REP.BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
NATHAM POLICE STATION,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO.9/2019)

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : Mr.G.THALAIMUTHARASU, Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.K.SUYAMBULINGA BHARATHI,
Government Advocate(Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence under Section 364 IPC in Cr.No.9 of 2019, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.It is the case of the prosecution that the petitioner and other accused kidnapped the defacto complainant's husband and demanded a sum of Rs.20,00,000/- as ransom. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner did not commit any offence as alleged by the prosecution and they are innocent person.

4.The learned Government Advocate(Crl.side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the defacto complainant's husband was secured and the investigation is pending.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the fact that the defacto complainant's husband was secured, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released



on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Natham, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-

22/02/2019

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, NATHAM.
2. DO-THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
NATHAM POLICE STATION, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to Mr.G.THALAIMUTHARASU Advocate SR.No.3614

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.2783 of 2019

Date :22/02/2019