



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Tuesday, the Twenty Sixth day of February Two Thousand Nineteen

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRL OP (MD) No.2611 of 2019

1.MALAICHAMY
2 GOVINDHARAJ
3 PACKKIYAM

... PETITIONERS / ACCUSED NOS.1,2 & 3

Vs

THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KAMUTHI POLICE STATION,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO.29/2019)

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioners : MR.S.J.CHAKKARAVARTHY Advocate

For Respondent : MR.K.SUYAMBULINGA BHARATHI,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


The petitioners who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence under Sections 294(b), 341, 323, 324 and 506(ii) of IPC in Cr.No.29 of 2019, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.It is the case of the prosecution that due to some dispute, the petitioners along with other accused attacked the defacto complainant with iron rod and hence, the defacto complainant preferred a complaint before the respondent police.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and they have nothing to do with the alleged offence.

4.The learned Government Advocate(Crl.side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that injured has been discharged from the hospital

5.Considering the facts and circumstances and considering the fact that the injured had been discharged from the hospital , this



Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Kamuthi, Ramanathapuram District on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

(c) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
26/02/2019

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
KAMUTHI, RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

2. DO THRO THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.



3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KAMUTHI POLICE STATION,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1. CC to MR.S.J.CHAKKARAVARTHY Advocate SR.No.3769

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.2611 of 2019

Date :26/02/2019

TK/MMS/SAR3/27.02.2019/3P/6C