



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Friday, the First day of March Two Thousand Nineteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRL OP (MD) No.2602 of 2019

1 B.SURESH

2 V.SIVANANDI

... PETITIONERS/ACCUSED No.2 & 3

Vs

THE STATE REP.BY,
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
CUMBUM SOUTH POLICE STATION,
THENI DISTRICT,
CRIME NO.84/2019

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioners : MR.K.MATHAN, Advocate

For Respondent : MR.K.SUYAMBULINGA BHARATHI,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences under Sections 294(b), 323, 324 & 307 of IPC, in Cr.No.84 of 2019 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity between the petitioners and the de-facto complainant, the petitioners assaulted the de-facto complainant with aruval, thereby they sustained injury. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the State would submit that the injured person in this case has been discharged from the hospital.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of this case the fact remain that the injured has been discharged from the hospital,



this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Uthamapalayam, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

(c) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
01/03/2019

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
UTHAMAPALAYAM, THENI DISTRICT



2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THENI DISTRICT

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
CUMBUM SOUTH POLICE STATION, THENI DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to MR.K.MATHAN Advocate SR.No.4169

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.2602 of 2019
Date :01/03/2019

SJI
PK/PN/SAR-4/06.03.2019 : 3P/6C