



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 07.03.2019

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE N.SESHASAYEE

CrI.O.P.[MD]No.2131 of 2019

WEB COPY

Singaravelan

: Petitioner

Vs.

- 1.The Commissioner of Police,
Office of the Commissioner of Police,
Trichy District.
- 2.The Deputy Commissioner of Police(Crime),
Office of the Deputy Commissioner of Police (Crime),
Trichy District.
- 3.The Assistant Commissioner of Police,
Law and Order, Fort Zone, Ganthi Market,
Trichy District.
- 4.The Inspector of Police,
Fort Police Station,
Fort, Trichy District.
- 5.The Inspector of Police,
City Crime Branch Office,
Cantonment,
Trichy.
- 6.Deepak Raj
- 7.N.R.Shivabathi
- 8.A.Senthil Kumar

: Respondents

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C., to direct the third respondent not to harass the petitioner under the guise of enquiry, pursuant to the representation of the petitioner dated 29.01.2019.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.P.Balasubramanian

For R-1 to R-5 : Mr.V.Neelakandan

Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

The petitioner complains that he is being harassed by the third respondent police presumably at the instance of the respondents 6 to 8.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the official respondents.

3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submitted that earlier the petitioner moved this Court in CrI.O.P.(MD)No.1448 of



2019 seeking police protection to his life and liberty from the same private respondents and this Court vide its order dated 07.002.2019 directed the police officials to conduct enquiry on the representation / petition filed by the petitioner and to take necessary decision to accord police protection to the petitioner. In connection with that petition, his presence is required for enquiry.

4. It is unfortunate that the petitioner, who had earlier obtained an order of police protection in respect a threat perception, has now come with the complaint complaining that they are harassing him. The police official cannot keep holding enquiring and the responsibility assigned to them by this Court cannot be outsourced either.

5. In view of the above, the petitioner is well advised to co-operate with the police official for enquiry. If only he believes his threat perception to his life and liberty continues, he is also advised to approach this Court by way of filing necessary petition.

6. With the above direction, this criminal original petition is disposed of.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CO)

// True Copy //

Sub Assistant Registrar

ta

To

- 1.The Commissioner of Police,
Office of the Commissioner of Police,
Trichy District.
- 2.The Deputy Commissioner of Police(Crime),
Office of the Deputy Commissioner of Police (Crime),
Trichy District.
- 3.The Assistant Commissioner of Police,
Law and Order, Fort Zone, Ganthi Market,
Trichy District.
- 4.The Inspector of Police,
Fort Police Station,
Fort, Trichy District.



5. The Inspector of Police,
City Crime Branch Office,
Cantonment,
Trichy.

6. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

1 CC to M/s.T.P.BALASUBRAMANI, Advocate (SR-53004[F] dated
11/03/2019)

CrI.O.P. [MD]No.2131 of 2019

07.03.2019

DS/ /SAR- (22.03.2019) 3P 8C