



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Friday, the Fifteenth day of February Two Thousand Nineteen

PRESENT

WEB COPY

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRL OP(MD) No.2073 of 2019

R.VASANTHAKUMAR

... PETITIONER / ACCUSED RANK NOT KNOWN

Vs

1 STATE REP. BY

THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KEERAMANGALAM POLICE STATION,
PUDUKOTTAI DISTRICT.

(CRIME NO. NOT KNOWN OF 2019)

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

2 P.ANANTHA SELVAM

... 2nd RESPONDENT/DE-FACTO COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.M.BENAZIR BEGUM Advocate

For Respondent : MR.K.SUYAMBULINGA BHARATHI,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.


ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences under Section 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(ii) IPC, in Cr.No.not known of 2019 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the there was a dispute arose between the petitioner and the defacto complainant regarding the administration of Arulmigu Sri Udaiyandal Amman Temple with regard to the celebration of Kumbabisegam for the said temple. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he did not commit any offence as alleged by the prosecution. In order to avoid the presence of the petitioner during the Kumbabisegam, the false complaint has been lodged.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the State would submit that investigation is pending, however the injured person in this case has been discharged from the hospital.



5. Considering the facts and circumstances of this case and since the injured person is discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Alankudi, Pudukkottai District, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m. until further orders;

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
15/02/2019


/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
ALANKUDI, PUDUKKOTTAI DISTRICT.

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
PUDUKKOTTAI.



3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KEERAMANGALAM POLICE STATION,
PUDUKOTTAI DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

ORDER

IN

CRL OP (MD) No.2073 of 2019

Date :15/02/2019

JM/PN/SAR 1/22.02.2019/3P/5C