



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Friday, the Eighth day of February Two Thousand Nineteen

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRL OP(MD) No.2070 of 2019

1 B.PACHAIAMMAL,
2 C.ANJALI,

... PETITIONERS / ACCUSED NO.1 AND 2

Vs

THE STATE REPRESENTED BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
CHINALAPATTI POLICE STATION,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
(CRIME.NO.30/2019)

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioners : Mr.R.SUJATHA Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.S.CHANDRASEKAR, Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences under Sections 294(b), and 506(ii) IPC and Section 4 of Women Harassment Act, in Crime No.30 of 2019 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.It is the case of the prosecution that due to the civil dispute, the petitioners triggered and provoked the other accused in this crime to assault the defacto complainant for which he sustained injuries and admitted in hospital. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners did not commit any offence as alleged by the prosecution.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent State would submit that the injured person was discharged from the hospital.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be



released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.III, Dindigul, Dindigul District, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) each, with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall appear before the respondent police as and required for interrogation;

(c) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-

08/02/2019

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.III, DINDIGUL DISTRICT, DINDIGUL.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, DINDIGUL.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
CHINALAPATTI POLICE STATION, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.R.SUJATHA Advocate SR.No.2070
PS/PN/SAR-4/14.02.2019/2P/6C

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.2070 of 2019

Date : 08/02/2019