



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Thirty First day of January Two Thousand Nineteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.NIRMAL KUMAR

CRL OP (MD) No.1558 of 2019

1.LALLU PRASATH,
2 THILAGA RAJ,

... PETITIONERS / ACCUSED 3 & A4

Vs

THE STATE REP. BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
SIKKAL POLICE STATION,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT
(CRIME NO.7 / 2019)

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioners : MR.M.S.JEYAKARTHIK Advocate

For Respondent : MR.K.SUYAMBULINGA BHARATHI,
Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 294(b), 323, 427 and 506(i) of I.P.C in Crime No.7 of 2019, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant was crossing occurrence place, the accused persons intercepted the defacto complainant and abused the defacto complainant with filthy language and caused injuries.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) would submit that the injured has been discharged from the hospital.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Mudhukulathur, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) each with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the said Magistrate, on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners shall appear before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

[b] if the petitioners failed to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
31/01/2019

/ TRUE COPY /


Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
MUDUKULATHUR

2. DO THRO' THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.

3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
SIKKAL POLICE STATION,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT



4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1. CC to MR.M.S.JEYAKARTHIK Advocate SR.No.2152

WEB COPY

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.1558 of 2019

Date :31/01/2019

AE/PN/SAR3/06.02.2019/3P/6C