



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Twenty Eighth day of January Two Thousand Nineteen

PRESENT

WEB COPY

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.NIRMAL KUMAR

CRL OP(MD) No.1228 of 2019

1 VADIVEL
2 SELVARAJ
3 NAGARAJ
4 NAGAJOTHI
5 KATTURANI
6 JEYA

... PETITIONERS/ ACCUSED
1 to 6

Vs

STATE REP BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
VADAMADURAI POLICE STATION,
VEDASANDUR TALUK,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT
Crime No.31/2019

...COMPLAINANT/ RESPONDENT

For Petitioners : Mr.D.BALAMURUGAPANDI Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.K.SUYAMBULINGA BHARATHI
Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 324 and 506(ii) of I.P.C in Crime No.31 of 2019, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity between the petitioners and the defacto complainant, the petitioners and other accused persons assaulted the defacto complainant with stick and abused him with filthy language and caused injuries. Hence the complaint.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the first petitioner lodged a complaint against the defacto complainant, thereafter, a case has been registered in Crime No.28 of 2019 before the respondent police.



4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) would submit that the injured has been discharged from the hospital.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that this case in counter case and the injured has been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Vedsanthur, Dindigul District on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) each with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the said Magistrate, on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners shall appear before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

[b] if the petitioners failed to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
28/01/2019

/ TRUE COPY /



TO

1. THE DISTRICT MUNSIF-CUM-
JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, VEDASANTHUR,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

2. THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
VADAMADURAI POLICE STATION, VEDASANDUR TALUK,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to Mr.D.BALAMURUGAPANDI Advocate SR.No.1525

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.1228 of 2019

Date :28/01/2019

TK/VR/SAR-1/06.02.2019/3P/6C