



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Tuesday, the Twenty Ninth day of January Two Thousand Nineteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.NIRMAL KUMAR

CRL OP(MD) No.1216 of 2019

KARTHIKEYAN

... PETITIONER/ ACCUSED No.2

Vs

THE STATE REP.BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
WORAIYUR POLICE STATION,
TRICHY CITY.
Crime No.16 of 2019

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : Mr.K.M.KARUNAKARAN Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.K.SUYAMBULINGA BHARATHI
Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)


PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offence punishable under Section 21(1) of Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957 r/w Section 379 IPC registered in Crime No.16 of 2019, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner illegally transported two units of sand.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is A2 in this case. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case and that therefore, he may be granted anticipatory bail. He also submitted that the first accused namely Senthamizhil Selvan has complied with the condition imposed on granting bail to A1 by the learned Principal Sessions Judge, Tiruchirappalli. He has deposited a sum of Rs.20,000/- to the credit of the District Mineral Foundation Trust, Tiruchirappalli and the acknowledgement receipt has also been produced before this Court.



4. The learned Government Advocate (crl. Side) appearing for the State submitted that if the person is caught with illegal sand in the mining area, he has to be directed to deposit the conditional amount to the credit of Crime number. However, in view of formation of District Mineral Foundation Trust in each district, the amount may be deposited to the credit of the said Trust for rehabilitation in the illegal sand mining affected areas.

5. In view of the rehabilitation undertaken by the State Government under the Tamil Nadu District Mineral Foundation Rules, 2017, and in order to curb the illegal sand mining activities and also considering the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court is of the opinion that the petitioner is directed to make a non refundable deposit of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the credit of the concerned District Mineral Foundation Trust, without prejudice to their rights and contentions.

6. It is made clear that the deposit of the amount by the petitioners to the Trust would not amount to admission of guilt. The trial Court shall deal with the case independently on merits without reference to the amount deposited at the stage of anticipatory bail.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the submission made by the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side), this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

8. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.IV, Tiruchirappalli District, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(i) if the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of 15 days, this order shall stand automatically cancelled.

(ii) the petitioner shall make a non refundable deposit of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the credit of the Chairman/District Collector, District Mineral Foundation Trust of the concerned District, without prejudice to their defence before the trial Court and thereafter, the learned Judicial Magistrate shall accept the sureties furnished by the petitioner;

(iii) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.



(iv) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

(v) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

WEB COPY

(vi) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

(vii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
29/01/2019

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1.THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO IV,
TIRUCHIRAPPALLI.
- 2.THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
TRICHY DISTRICT.
- 3.THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
WORAIYUR POLICE STATION, TRICHY CITY.
- 4.THE CHAIRMAN/DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
DISTRICT MINERAL FOUNDATION TRUST, TRICHY DISTRICT.
- 5.THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to Mr.K.M.KARUNAKARAN Advocate SR.No.1659

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.1216 of 2019
Date :29/01/2019

TK/VR/SAR-3/31.01.2019/3P/7C