



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Friday, the Twenty Fifth day of January Two Thousand Nineteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.NIRMAL KUMAR

CRL OP (MD) No.1101 of 2019

G.KAVITHA

... PETITIONER / ACCUSED NO.2

Vs

STATE REPRESENTED THROUGH
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
VADAKADU POLICE STATION,
PUDUKKOTTAI DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO.16/2019)

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : MR.K.BAALASUNDHARAM Advocate

For Respondent : MR.K.SUYAMBULINGA BHARATHI,
Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 323 and 506(i) of IPC and Section 4 of Tamilnadu Women Harassment Act, in Cr.No.16 of 2019 seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner and the defacto complainant are the adjacent neighbours. Due to civil dispute, the petitioner assaulted the defacto complainant and threatened her with dire consequences. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner and the defacto complainant are neighbours and she has nothing to do with the alleged offence.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) would submit that the injured had been discharged from the hospital.

5.Taking into consideration the facts of the case and the submissions by learned counsels and that the petitioner and the defacto complainant are neighbours, this Court inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on her appearance, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Alangudi, Pudukkottai District, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the said Magistrate, on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioner fails to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
25/01/2019

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
ALANGUDI, PUDUKKOTTAI DISTRICT.

2. DO THRO' THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
PUDUKKOTTAI DISTRICT.

3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
VADAKADU POLICE STATION,
PUDUKKOTTAI DISTRICT.



4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1. CC to MR.K.BAALASUNDHARAM Advocate SR.No.1458

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.1101 of 2019

Date :25/01/2019

AE/VR/SAR1/29.01.2019/3P/6C