



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

Friday, the Twenty Second day of February Two Thousand Nineteen

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.M.SUNDRESH
AND

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice N.SATHISH KUMAR

WEB COPY

CMP(MD) . No.597 of 2019

IN

WA(MD)NO.100 OF 2013

- 1 THE SECRETARY TO STATE OF
TAMIL NADU, DEPARTMENT OF SCHOOL EDUCATION,
FORT ST. GEORGE CHENNAI-600 009.
2. The Director of School Education,
College Road, Chennai. 600 006
3. The Chief Education Officer,
Tuticorin, Tuticorin District.
4. The District Educational Officer,
Tuticorin, Tuticorin District. ... Petitioners/Respondents

Vs

- 1 THE SECRETARY,
KARAPETTAI NADAR GIRLS HIGHER SECONDARY
SCHOOL, TUTICORIN, TUTICORIN DISTRICT.
... Respondent/Appellant

Prayer :-


To clarify the order dated 19.07.2017 passed in WA(MD)
No.100 of 2013 and thus render justice.

WA(MD)NO.100 OF 2013: Writ Appeal filed Under Clause 15 of letter
patten against the order passed by thid court in W.A.(MD) No.9285
of 2009.

Prayer in WP(MD) . 9285/ 2009 :

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the
Constitution of India, praying this Court To issue a Writ of
Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records relating to the
impugned proceedings issued by the 2nd respondent director of
School Education in Na.Ka.no. 48309/D2/E1/08 dated 29/06/2009 quash
the same and further direct the respondents herein to include the
English medium sections of the petitioner school for the purpose
assessing the staff-requirement and disbursing the of grant-in-aid.

ORDER:- This Revision/Appeal Petition coming on for orders on this
day and upon perusing the petition and the affidavit filed in
support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of Thiru./Ms.
SRIMATHY, Advocate for the Petitioner and of Thiru./Ms. ISSAC
MOHANLAL SENIOR COUNSEL, for the respondent(s), this Court made
the following order:



Seeking clarification of the order passed on 19.07.2017 in W.A.(MD)No.100 of 2013, the present petition has been filed.

2. Our order is very clear and therefore, no clarification is required. The question as to whether the order passed is complied with or not is the matter to be heard by the appropriate Bench before whom the contempt petition is pending. We only reiterated the settled position that a contempt would arise only when there is a wilful disobedience and not on a wrong understanding, even assuming it is so.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar ()

// True Copy //

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

TO

- 1 THE SECRETARY TO STATE OF
TAMIL NADU, DEPARTMENT OF SCHOOL EDUCATION,
FORT ST. GEORGE CHENNAI-600 009.
2. The Director of School Education,
College Road, Chennai. 600 006
3. The Chief Educational Officer,
Tuticorin, Tuticorin District.
4. The District Educational Officer,
Tuticorin, Tuticorin District.
5. The Special Govt. Pleader,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai(SR.49508)

ORDER DATED : 22/02/2019

=====
ORDER
=====

CMP(MD). No.597 of 2019
in
WA (MD) nO.100 OF 2003

Giving direction and etc.
as stated within.

TR (19.03.2019) 2P 6C