



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 04.11.2015

C O R A M

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE T. MATHIVANAN

CRP(MD).Nos.1716 and 1717 of 2011 and
M.P(MD).Nos.1 of 2012 in both CRPs and
CRP(MD).Nos.1900 and 1901 of 2011

G. Jayaprakash

Petitioner in all CRPs.

Vs.

1. The Assistant Executive Engineer,
Distribution, TANGEDCO,
Thiruchendur - 628 215

2. The Superintending Engineer,
Tuticorin Electricity Distribution Circle,
TANGEDCO, Tuticorin - 628 001

Respondents in all CRPs

Prayer in all CRPS: The Civil Revision Petitions are filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India against the order in I.A.Nos.175, 235 of 2011 Electricity O.P.Nos.139, 199 and 139, 199 of 2011 dated 06.08.2011 on the file of the Principal District and Sessions Court at Tuticorin (Exercising the Special Jurisdiction under Section 154 of the Electricity Act as a Special Court) and to set aside the order dated 06.08.2011 in O.P.No.139 of 2011 on the file of Principal District and Sessions Court, Tuticorin respectively.

For Petitioner	: No appearance
For Respondents	: Mr.S.M.S. Johnny Basha

O R D E R

When these revision petitions came up for hearing on 12.12.2014, a memorandum was filed by the learned counsel for the petitioner dated 11.12.2014, wherein he has stated that he was withdrawing his appearance and that he had also given a change of vakalat to the petitioner.

2. The learned Judge of this Court, after taking note of the fact that the learned counsel who was appearing for the petitioner had withdrawn his appearance and given a change of vakalat had directed the registry to issue a drafted notice to the petitioner returnable in two weeks.

3. The records would reveal that the petitioner was served with the notice and hence, his name has also been printed, as a party in person, in the cause list.



4. When the matters were taken up for hearing yesterday i.e on 03.11.2015, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner and hence, these matters were ordered to be listed today i.e. on 04.11.2015, under the caption for orders. Even today also there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner either in person or through his counsel. Hence, it is presumed that the petitioner is not interested to get on with the petitions and therefore, this Court finds that it may expedient to dismiss these revision petitions for non prosecution.

5. Accordingly, the Civil Petitions are dismissed for non prosecution with costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(records)

/True copy/

Sub AssistantRegistrar

To

The Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tuticorin.

+4cc to M/s.G.Jeyaprakash, Party in person Sr.No.64986

+1cc to Mr.S.M.S.Johny Basha, Advocate SR.No.64882

sm:sks-rr:24.11.2015:2P/7C

CRP(MD).Nos.1716 and 1717 of 2011 and
M.P(MD).Nos.1 of 2012 in both CRPs and
CRP(MD).Nos.1900 and 1901 of 2011
04.11.2015