

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 30.01.2019

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE Mr.JUSTICE C.T.SELVAM
and
THE HONOURABLE Mrs.JUSTICE S.RAMATHILAGAM

H.C.P.No.2606 of 2018

Praveena
W/o.Ellappan

... Petitioner

-Vs-

1.State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by Secretary,
Prohibition and Excise Department,
St.George Fort,
Chennai - 600 009.

2.The Commissioner of Police,
Poonamallee High Road,
Vepery,
Chennai - 600 007.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Habeas Corpus, calling upon the production of records relating to the detention order dated 17.10.2018 made in detention order Memo No.955/BCDFGISSSV/2018 passed by the 2nd respondent herein quash the same and direct the respondents to produce the body or person of the petitioner's husband Ellappan, Son of Sivapragasam aged about 30 years branded as Goonda and now confined in Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai, before this Hon'ble Court and set him at liberty forth with.

For Petitioner : Mr.C.Gowri Sankar

For Respondents : Mr.R.Prathap Kumar
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by C.T.SELVAM, J.]

Challenge is made to the order of detention passed by the second respondent vide Proceedings in No.955/BCDFGISSSV/2018 dated 17.10.2018, whereby the detenu, by name, Ellappan, Son of

Sivapragasam, aged about 30 years, was ordered to be detained under the provisions of the Tamil Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Drug Offenders, Forest Offenders, Goondas, Immoral Traffic Offenders, Sand Offenders, Slum-grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 (Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982) branding him as a "GOONDA".

2. The ground case has been registered against the detenu in Cr.No.627/2018 on the file of P-3 Vyasarpadi Police Station, for offences u/s. 294(b), 323, 302, 506(ii) IPC . The detention order has been passed by second respondent in No.955/BCDFGISSSV/2018.

3. Though many grounds have been raised in the petition, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, confines his argument only in respect of non-application of mind on the part of the detaining authority in passing the order of detention.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the detenu was arrested in the ground case in Cr.No.627/2018 on 16.09.2018; whereas the detention order was passed on 17.10.2018, i.e. Nearly after a lapse of 30 days. This inordinate delay in passing of detention order would vitiate the same. In support of his contention, learned counsel for the petitioner placed reliance on the judgment of a Division Bench of this Court reported in 2005 MLJ (CrL.) 752 (Ramesh v. District Collector and District Magistrate, Tiruchirapalli District and another).

5. Per contra, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that the order of detention has been passed on cogent and sufficient materials and the same cannot be interfered with at the instance of the petitioner. Therefore, he submits that the Habeas Corpus Petition does not merit any consideration and the same is liable to be dismissed.

6. We have heard the learned counsel for both sides with regard to the facts.

7. A perusal of the grounds of detention as well as the detention order passed by the detaining authority would show that the Detention Order was passed on 17.10.2018. Further, the detenu was arrested in the ground case as early as on 16.09.2018. This shows an inordinate delay of nearly 30 days

in passing the detention order. There is no explanation forthcoming on the side of the respondents for this inordinate delay in passing the detention order. Learned counsel for the petitioner has rightly placed reliance on the decision in Ramesh's case (cited supra) wherein this Court has held as follows:

"....

3.It is brought to our notice by the learned Government advocate that the analyst report was received on 06.12.2014 and the doctor has issued certificate on 07.12.2014. Even in the counter affidavit filed by the second respondent, it is stated that the sponsoring authority has submitted his affidavit only on 15.01.2015. When the sponsoring authority is in possession of the analyst report and the doctor's report even on 06.12.2014 and 07.12.2014, there is no proper explanation for submitting his affidavit till 15.01.2015 for invoking the provisions of Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982. Even thereafter, the impugned detention order was passed only on 27.02.2015, i.e. After five weeks of receipt of the affidavit from the sponsoring authority. Though the detaining authority has filed a counter affidavit, there is no explanation for the undue delay in passing the impugned order.

4.In this regard, learned counsel for the petitioner relied on unreported decision of this Court rendered in H.C.P. No.1149 of 1995, dated 13.12.1995. In similar circumstances, after pointing out the unexplained delay between the date of submission of the affidavit by the sponsoring authority and the detention order, the Division Bench of this Court has concluded thus:

"Such delays tend to have an affect of snapping the link between prejudicial activity and passing of preventive orders....."

8. In view of the above decision rendered by the Division Bench of this Court, this Court is of the view that the detention order is unsustainable in law on the ground of inordinate and unexplained delay in passing the detention order and the same is liable to be set aside.

9. Accordingly, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the impugned detention order in No.955/BCDFGISSSV/2018 dated 17.10.2018 is set aside. The detenu viz., Ellappan, Son of Sivapragasam, aged about 30 years, is directed to be released forthwith unless his presence is required in connection with any other case.

Sd/-
Deputy Registrar

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

kmi/lpp

To

- 1.The Secretary to the Government,
Prohibition and Excise Department,
St.George Fort,
Chennai - 600 009.
- 2.The Commissioner of Police,
Poonamallee High Road,
Vepery,
Chennai - 600 007.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.
4. The Superintendent of Central Prison,
Puzhal, Chennai.

H.C.P.No.2606 of 2018

CSL/27.02.2019

सत्यमेव जयते

WEB COPY