

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
DATED: 21.03.2019

CORAM:
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE N.ANAND VENKATESH
Crl.O.P.Nos.25833, 25835 & 25837 of 2018

D.Sugumar ...Petitioner in all Crl.O.Ps.
vs.

1. State Rep. by

The Inspector of Police
Central Crime Branch -I, EDF-I
O/o. Commissioner of Police,
Vepery, Chennai - 600 007.

...1st Respondent in all the Crl.O.Ps

2. R.Vijai ...2nd Respondent in Crl.O.P.No.25833/2018

3. E.Ganesan ...2nd Respondent in Crl.O.P.No.25835/2018

4. S.Gunasekaran...2nd Respondent in Crl.O.P.No.28537/2018

Prayer in Crl.O.P.No.25833 of 2018 : Criminal Original
Petition is filed under Section 439(ii) of Cr.P.C., to
pass an order for cancellation of bail granted by the
Learned Chief Metropolitan Magistrate at Egmore to the
respondent No.2 in Crl.M.P.No.3793 of 2018 in Cr.No.277
of 2018 dated 03.09.2018.

Prayer in Crl.O.P.No.25835 of 2018 : Criminal Original
Petition is filed under Section 439(ii) of Cr.P.C., to
pass an order for cancellation of bail granted by the
Learned Chief Metropolitan Magistrate at Egmore to the
respondent No.2 in Crl.M.P.No.4024 of 2018 in Cr.No.277
of 2018 dated 20.09.2018.

Prayer in Crl.O.P.No.25837 of 2018 : Criminal Original
Petition is filed under Section 439(ii) of Cr.P.C., to
pass an order for cancellation of bail granted by the
Learned Principal Sessions Judge, Chennai to the
respondent No.2 in Crl.M.P.No.15500 of 2018 in
Crl.M.P.No.14654 of 2018 in Cr.No.277 of 2018 dated
14.09.2018.

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Muniraj
[in all Crl.O.Ps]

For R1 : Ms.M.Prabhavathi
[in all Crl.O.Ps] Additional Public Prosecutor

For R2 : Mr.V.R.Karthikeyan
[in Crl.O.P.No.225835 of 2018]

COMMON ORDER

These petitions have been filed seeking for cancellation of bail that the accused persons are taking advantage of bail granted by the Court below and threatened the defacto complainant and the employees, thereby they misused the liberty given to them by way of granting bail. The learned counsel brought to the notice of this Court that the defacto complainant has given a representation before the respondent police dated 03.10.2018. The learned counsel submitted that the attitude of the accused persons clearly amounts to interfering with the investigation by threatening the witnesses.

2. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submitted that the respondent police will take into consideration the complaint given by the petitioner and if the accused persons found threatening the defacto complainant or any other witnesses, immediately action will be taken against them in accordance with law.

3. Accordingly, these Criminal Original Petitions are disposed of, with a direction to the respondent police to act upon the representation given by the petitioner. If it is found that there is any threat to the defacto complainant or any other witnesses, immediate action shall be taken in accordance with law.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CS viii)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

msrm

To

1. The learned Chief Metropolitan Magistrate,
Egmore, Chennai.
2. The learned Principal Sessions Judge, Chennai.
3. The Inspector of Police
Central Crime Branch -I, EDF-I
O/o. Commissioner of Police,
Vepery, Chennai - 600 007.
4. The Public Prosecutor, High Court, Madras.
Crl.O.P.Nos.25833, 25835 & 25837 of 2018
A.SK(12/04/2019)