

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 11.12.2019

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE Mr.JUSTICE P.RAJAMANICKAM

W.P.No.33631 of 2019

V.Porus

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Thiruvannamalai District,
Thiruvannamalai.

2. State rep. By
The Inspector of Police,
Vettavalam Police Station,
Kilpennathur Taluk,
Thiruvannamalai District.

...Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a writ of Mandamus directing the first respondent to issue a necessary direction to the 2nd respondent to delete the Petitioner's name from the history sheet maintained by the second respondent based on the petitioner's representation made to the respondents dated 21.02.2017.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Semmalai
For Respondents : Mr.M.Mohamed Riyaz,
Additional Public Prosecutor

सत्यमेव जयते
O R D E R

This petition has been filed to issue a writ of Mandamus directing the first respondent to issue a necessary direction to the 2nd respondent to delete the Petitioner's name from the history sheet maintained by the second respondent based on the petitioner's representation made to the respondents dated 21.02.2017.

2. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has completed X standard and he is now doing Tailoring work and he is also affiliated to a political party and some people who are enemically towards the petitioner have given false complaints. The second respondent was booked several false cases against him. During the year 2006 - 2008 his name was included in the History sheet maintained by the second respondent. Subsequently he had been acquitted from the false cases. Therefore, the petitioner has made a representation to the respondents on 21.02.2017 to delete his name from the history sheet, but so far no action has been taken on the said representation and hence he requests to direct the respondents to pass an appropriate order on the petitioner's representation.

4.This Court has carefully considered the submissions made on either side and also the materials available on record.

5.Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, there shall be a direction to the respondents police to consider the representation dated 21.02.2017 made by the petitioner to delete the name of the petitioner from the history sheet and deal with the same in accordance with the guidelines given by this Court in the case of Sabari @ Sabarigiri vs. Assistant Commissioner of Police, Annanagar (L and O) Range, Madurai City, Madurai and others reported in (2018) 4 MLJ (Cr1.) 585. This process shall be completed by the respondents police within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

6.This Writ Petition is disposed of with the above direction.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar

// True Copy//

सत्यमेव जयते

Sub Assistant Registrar

ebsi

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Thiruvannamalai District,
Thiruvannamalai.

WEB COPY


2. The Inspector of Police,
Vettavalam Police Station,
Kilpennathur Taluk,
Thiruvannamalai District.

3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

+lcc to Mr.K.Semmalai, Advocate, SR.No.103361.

RGN(CO)
CSR: 12.03.2020

W.P.No.33631 of 2019



WEB COPY