

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 12.04.2019

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

W.P.No.27370 of 2017 and

W.M.P.No.29268 of 2017


Jeppiaar Engineering College,
Rep. by its Principal,
Dr.Venu Gopala Krishnan.J,
Jeppiaar Nagar, Rajiv Gandhi Salai,
Chennai - 600 119

...Petitioner

-Vs-

1.The Inspector of Police,
J-10 Chemmancherry Police Station,
35, Old Mahabalipuram Road,
Sholinganallur, Chennai 600 119

- 2.Baghavan
- 3.Deenadayalan
- 4.Devaenthran
- 5.Dhanansezhian
- 6.Dhanasekaran
- 7.Ebinesan
- 8.Eswaran
- 9.Joon
- 10.Kannan
- 11.Kannivelu
- 12.Murali
- 13.Muthu Kumaran
- 14.Nataraj
- 15.Paul Raj
- 16.Perumal
- 17.Pichamuthu
- 18.Pintojoshi
- 19.Rajendran
- 20.Raji
- 21.Ramesh
- 22.Ravi
- 23.Ravichandran
- 24.Sagaya John
- 25.Saravanan
- 26.Saravanan
- 27.Sathish
- 28.Sekar
- 29.Selvam
- 30.Senthil Kumar
- 31.Settu
- 32.Shanmugam
- 33.Sudhakar



WEB COPY

34.Suresh
35.Suresh
36.Vadivel
37.Velayutham
38.Vembuli
39.Venkatesan
40.Zameer Hussain
41.Pachaiappan
42.Mohamed Illyas
43.Shabir Hussain
44.Ramesh
45.Nagaraj
46.Praveen Kumar

... Respondents

Prayer: Writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, directing the 1st respondent to provide police protection by preventing the entry of the respondent Nos.2 to 46 into the petitioner's premises or cause any hindrance to the transportation of the students.

For Petitioner : Mr.A.R.L.Sundaresan,
Senior Counsel
for Mr.Hari Radhakrishnan
For Respondents : Mr.C.Raghavan,
Government Advocate(Crl side)
for R1
: Mr.S.Partha Sarathi
for R2 to R38, R40 to R46
: No Appearance for R39

ORDER

The writ petition has been filed seeking direction to the first respondent to provide police protection by preventing the entry of the respondent Nos.2 to 46 into the petitioner's premises or cause any hindrance to the transportation of the students.

2. Heard the learned counsel appearing the petitioner, the learned Government Advocate appearing for the first respondent and the learned counsel appearing for respondents 2 to 38 and 40 to 46.

3. It is seen that the petitioner is a registered Educational Trust and is providing transportation facilities to their students. For this purpose they employed nearly 76 drivers, mechanics and others. As the college sustained losses,

it was decided to enter into an arrangement with M/s.Parveen Travels for the same facility. In this regard settlement compensation was given by the petitioner to those persons. However, 48 persons suffered the students and prevented them from using the transport facility of their own choice. In this regard, a complaint was submitted to the first respondent on 22.09.2017 for police protection, however they did not choose to take action against the 48 persons. In the mean time, there was a conciliation process between the management and the 48 persons. While pending the conciliation proceedings, they were trying to indulge in coercive activities, thereby disturbing the transportation.

4. Considering the above facts and circumstances, this Court by an order dated 25.10.2017, directed the first respondent to provide adequate protection in order to ensure safe transportation of the students from in and out of the college and to prevent any obstruction from the respondents 2 to 46. The interim order is still in force. Now the learned counsel appearing for the both parties submitted that the conciliation proceedings are over between the petitioner's management and the respondents 2 to 46 and now the petitioner-institution is running smoothly without any disturbance whatsoever by the respondents 2 to 46.

5. Recording the same, the writ petition is closed. If the respondents 2 to 46 indulges in any coercive activities disturbing the smooth functioning of the petitioner-institution, it is needless to say that the petitioner is at liberty to seek necessary protection from the concerned jurisdiction police officers and on receipt of such request, the concerned jurisdiction police shall consider the same and pass orders in merits and accordance with law. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is also closed. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CS VIII)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

lok

To


1.The Inspector of Police,
J-10 Chemmancherry Police Station,
35, Old Mahabalipuram Road,
Sholinganallur, Chennai 600 119

2. The Government Advocate (Crl.side)
High Court, Madras.

+1cc to Mr.Hari Radhakrishnan, Advocate sr.36767

W.P.No.27370 of 2017

svi(co)
nr 28/06/2019



WEB COPY