



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF MADRAS

DATED: 06.12.2019

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE N.ANAND VENKATESH

W.P.No.33409 of 2019

and

W.M.P.Nos.33874 & 33875 of 2019

S.Sagunthala

...Petitioner

Vs

1. The District Collector,
Krishnagiri District.
Krishnagiri.
2. The Block Development Officer,
Uthangarai Block,
Krishnagiri District,
Krishnagiri.
3. The Commissioner,
Panchayat Union,
Uthangarai,
Krishnagiri District.
4. Mrs.Ganga
Noon Meal Organiser,
Naganoor Panchayat Union Primary School,
Dharmapuri District.

...Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue a writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, by calling for the records of the 1st respondent in its Na.Ka.No.29674/2019/X1 dated 12.09.2019 and quash the same insofar as it transfers the 4th respondent viz., M.Ganga to Government Higher Secondary School, Thiruvananthapuram and consequently direct the respondents to post the petitioner herein to the said vacancy.



WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr.V.Vijayashankar

For Respondents: Mr.V.Prabhu, Government Advocate
for R1
Mr.B.Anandan for R2

O R D E R

This writ petition has been filed challenging the transfer order passed by the first respondent in his proceedings dated 12.09.2019 and for a consequential direction to permit the petitioner to be transferred to Thiruvananthapuram to work as a Noon Meal Organiser at the Government Higher Secondary School.

2.The petitioner had already given a representation to the respondents and had approached this Court by filing W.P.No.26713 of 2019 and this Court directed the respondents to consider the representation of the petitioner and pass appropriate orders. Without any orders being passed, the first respondent had issued a notification on 12.09.2019, which deals with transfers of various persons and the petitioner's name is also found in the order, wherein the petitioner was transferred to the Government Higher Secondary School, Kamatchipatti. This order is challenged in the present writ petition.

3.Mr.B.Anandan, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the second respondent submitted that an order has already been passed on the representation made by the petitioner on 28.11.2019 and the claim made by the petitioner has been rejected. The learned counsel, therefore submitted that there are absolutely no grounds to interfere with the transfer order that has been passed by the first respondent.

4.Heard Mr.V.Vijayashankar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner.

5.Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and also the fact that the District Collector, Krishnagiri had already considered the representation made by the petitioner and rejected her claim to be transferred to Thiruvananthapuram, this Court is not inclined to interfere with the



impugned order passed by the first respondent. The transfer orders have been passed on administrative grounds based on certain requirements and there are no grounds to interfere with the same.

WEB COPY

6. This Writ Petition is dismissed. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar (CS VI)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

hvk

To

1. The District Collector,
Krishnagiri District.
Krishnagiri.
2. The Block Development Officer,
Uthangarai Block,
Krishnagiri District,
Krishnagiri.
3. The Commissioner,
Panchayat Union,
Uthangarai,
Krishnagiri District.

+lcc to Mr.V.Vijayashankar, Advocate sr.102569
+lcc to Government Pleader SR.NO. 102922

W.P.No.33409 of 2019
and
W.M.P.Nos.33874 & 33875 of 2019

bp(co)
nr 27/01/2020