

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 27.11.2019

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.S.RAMESH

W.P.No.33101 of 2019

M.Thiyagarajan

...Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Director General of Police,
O/o. Director General of Police,
Mylapore, Chennai - 4.
 2. The Divisional Director General of Police,
O/o. Divisional Director General of Police,
Thiruchirapalli.
 3. The Inspector General of Police,
O/o. Divisional Office,
Thiruchirapalli.
 4. The Superintendent of Police,
O/o. Superintendent of Police,
Thiruvarur District,
Thiruvarur.
 5. The Inspector of Police,
Peralam Police Station,
Peralam, Nannilam Taluk,
Thiruvarur District.
 6. N.Muthukumar,
Spl. Sub Inspector of Police,
Peralam Police Station,
Peralam, Nannilam Taluk,
Thiruvarur District.
- ...Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents 1 to 4 to consider and pass order on the petitioner's representation dated 01.07.2019.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Baskar

For Respondents: Mr.C.Iyyapparaj
Additional Public Prosecutor
for R1 to R5

O R D E R

The limited prayer sought for in the present petition is to consider the petitioner's representation, wherein he has sought for action against the 5th and 6th respondents herein, who are police officials. The grievance of the petitioner is that though the representation was given on 01.07.2019 to the 1st respondent herein, no further course of action has been taken on his representation and therefore, the present writ petition has been filed.

2. In my view, the representation made to the 1st respondent may not be an appropriate representation for the purpose of considering the grievance of the petitioner. Nevertheless, if the petitioner is granted liberty to approach the 4th respondent herein ventilating his grievance with a consequent direction to consider such a representation, the ends of justice could be secured.

3. In the light of the above observation, the petitioner is granted liberty to give a representation to the 4th respondent herein and on receipt of such a representation, the 4th respondent shall consider the same in accordance with law. During the course of such consideration, the petitioner as well as the respondents 5 and 6 shall be given due opportunity to put forth their objections, if any.

4. Accordingly, the Writ Petition stands disposed of. No costs.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

hvk

To

1. The Director General of Police,
O/o. Director General of Police,
Mylapore, Chennai - 4.
2. The Divisional Director General of Police,
O/o. Divisional Director General of Police,
Thiruchirapalli.
3. The Inspector General of Police,
O/o. Divisional Office,
Thiruchirapalli.

4. The Superintendent of Police,
O/o. Superintendent of Police,
Thiruvarur District,
Thiruvarur.


5. The Inspector of Police,
Peralam Police Station,
Peralam, Nannilam Taluk,
Thiruvarur District.

6. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

+lcc to Mr.R.Baskar, Advocate SR.99004

W.P.No.33101 of 2019

KK(CO)
CB(06/01/2020)



WEB COPY