

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
DATED: 22.11.2019
CORAM
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.S. RAMESH
Crl.O.P.No.31376 of 2019

Udhaya @ Udhyakumar

...Petitioner

Vs

State Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Dharapuram Police Station,
Tiruppur District.
Crime No.1321 of 2008.

...Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, praying to direct the learned Principal District and Sessions Court, Tiruppur, to accept the surrender of the petitioner in S.C.No.83 of 2019 and consider his petition to recall the Non Bailable Warrant issued against the petitioner on 31.10.2019.

For Petitioners: Mr.C.S.Saravanan

For Respondent : Mr.C.Iyyapparaj
Additional Public Prosecutor

O R D E R

This Criminal Original Petition is filed to direct the learned Principal District and Sessions Court, Tiruppur to recall the Non-Bailable Warrant issued against the petitioners/accused in S.C.No.83 of 2019.

2. This Court, by an earlier order dated 07.09.2017 passed in Crl.O.P.Nos.13276 of 2017, etc., had considered the scope of recalling a Non-Bailable Warrant issued by the Trial Courts. The relevant portion of the said order reads as follows:-

20.Thus, under Section 82 of Cr.P.C., there can be no impediment on the part of the trial Court to pronounce him as a proclaimed offender, instead of keeping the matter pending indefinitely for the purpose of having the warrant executed. Hence, the existence of the fourth category of cases cannot be a ground to preclude the High Court to do justice in the first three categories particularly, when they

constitute a major portion of the pending cases in the State of Tamil Nadu, in which, Non Bailable Warrants are pending execution.

21.To sum up the findings rendered by me, it is reiterated that the issuance of Bailable Warrant or Non Bailable Warrant should be exercised with extreme caution and in the rarest of cases, bearing in mind that the pendency of Non Bailable Warrant is one of the major factors for the long pendency of cases before the trial Court. The trial Court shall also scrupulously follow the guidelines imposed in Inder Mohan Gowsami's case (supra) as well as the observations made in the present case while issuing Non Bailable Warrants or recalling the Non Bailable Warrants.

3. By following the ratio laid down in the aforesaid order, this Court is of the view that the petitioners' request for recalling the Non-Bailable Warrant can also be considered.

4. Accordingly, the Non-Bailable Warrant dated 31.10.2019 issued against the petitioner in S.C.No.83 of 2019 on the file of the learned Principal District and Sessions Court, Tiruppur, is hereby recalled.

5. It is made clear that the petitioners shall henceforth co-operate by regularly attending the proceedings before the concerned Court.

6. Accordingly, the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed.

Sd/-
Asst.Registrar (CS III)

/true copy/

Sub Asst. Registrar

jas/hvk

To

1.The Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruppur.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Dharapuram Police Station,
Tiruppur District.

3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

+1 cc to Mr.C.S.Saravanan Advocate sr97759

Cr1.O.P.No.31376 of 2019

aa30/12/2019