

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 10.04.2019

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

CRL.O.P.No.28491 of 2017
and Crl.MP.Nos.16165 of 2017 & 6916 of 2018

Arumugapandi ... Petitioner/Accused I

Vs.

1. The State rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Coimbatore Crime Branch,
Coimbatore City.
(Crime No.98/2017) ... Respondent/Complainant

2. Raj Sekaran 2nd Respondent/
Defacto Complainant

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. praying to call for the records in Crime No.98 of 2017 on the file of the first respondent and quash the same.

For Petitioner : Ms.Aruna Elango

For Respondents

For R1 : Mr.C.Raghavan
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

For R2 : Mr.M.R.Sivakumar

O R D E R

This petition has been filed to quash the F.I.R. in Crime No.98 of 2017 registered by the first respondent police for offences under Sections 120B, 452 and 506(i) of IPC, as against the petitioner.

2. The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Without any

base, the third respondent police registered a case in Crime No.98 of 2017, for the offences under Sections 120B, 420 and 506 (i) of IPC, as against the petitioner. Hence he prayed to quash the same.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the second respondent submitted that there are materials to connect the petitioner for offences under Sections 120B, 420 and 506(i) of IPC. Further he submitted that it is only a FIR and it cannot be quashed on its threshold. The investigation may go on to unearth and grab the offence committed by the petitioner. Therefore, he prayed for dismissal of the quash petition.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that the investigation is almost completed and the respondent police have only to file final report.

5. Heard Ms.Aruna Elango, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Mr.M.Mohamed Riyaz, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the first respondent and Mr.M.R.Sivakumar, learned counsel appearing for the second respondent.

6. It is seen from the First Information Report that there is a specific allegation as against the petitioner, which has to be investigated. Further the FIR is not an encyclopedia and it need not contain all facts. Further, it cannot be quashed in the threshold. This Court finds that the FIR discloses prima facie commission of cognizable offence and as such this Court cannot interfere with the investigation. The investigating machinery has to step in to investigate, grab and unearth the crime in accordance with the procedures prescribed in the Code.

7. It is also relevant to rely upon the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India passed in Crl.A.No.255 of 2019 dated 12.02.2019 - Sau. Kamal Shivaji Pokarnekar vs. the State of Maharashtra & ors., as follows:-

4. The only point that arises for our consideration in this case is whether the High Court was right in setting aside the order by which process was issued. It is settled law that the Magistrate, at the stage of taking cognizance and summoning, is required to apply his judicial mind only with a view to taking cognizance of the offence, or in other words, to find out whether a prima facie case has been made out for summoning the accused persons. The learned Magistrate is not required to evaluate the merits of the

material or evidence in support of the complaint, because the Magistrate must not undertake the exercise to find out whether the materials would lead to a conviction or not.

5. Quashing the criminal proceedings is called for only in a case where the complaint does not disclose any offence, or is frivolous, vexatious, or oppressive. If the allegations set out in the complaint do not constitute the offence of which cognizance has been taken by the Magistrate, it is open to the High Court to quash the same. It is not necessary that a meticulous analysis of the case should be done before the Trial to find out whether the case would end in conviction or acquittal. If it appears on a reading of the complaint and consideration of the allegations therein, in the light of the statement made on oath that the ingredients of the offence are disclosed, there would be no justification for the High Court to interfere.

.....
9. Having heard the learned Senior Counsel and examined the material on record, we are of the considered view that the High Court ought not to have set aside the order passed by the Trial Court issuing summons to the Respondents. A perusal of the complaint discloses that prima facie, offences that are alleged against the Respondents. The correctness or otherwise of the said allegations has to be decided only in the Trial. At the initial stage of issuance of process it is not open to the Courts to stifle the proceedings by entering into the merits of the contentions made on behalf of the accused. Criminal complaints cannot be quashed only on the ground that the allegations made therein appear to be of a civil nature. If the ingredients of the offence alleged against the accused are prima facie made out in the complaint, the criminal proceeding shall not be interdicted."

8. In view of the above discussion, this Court is not inclined to quash the FIR. However, considering the crime is of the year 2017, the first respondent is directed to complete the investigation in Crime No.98 of 2017 and file a final report within a period of three months from the date of receipt of copy of this Order, before the jurisdiction Magistrate, if not already filed.

9. With the above directions, this Criminal Original Petition stands disposed of. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

rts

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Coimbatore Crime Branch,
Coimbatore City.

2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

+1 cc to Mr.M.R.Sivakumar, Advocate, Sr.No. 36013

CRL.O.P.No.28491 of 2017
and Crl.MP.Nos.16165 of 2017
& 6916 of 2018

vba(co)
CSL/14.05.2019

सत्यमेव जयते

WEB COPY