

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 17.09.2019

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.RAVICHANDRABAABU

W.P.Nos.27476, 27478, 27482, 27483 & 27486 of 2019
and
W.M.P.Nos.26963, 26965, 26966, 26970 & 26971 of 2019

W.P.Nos.27476, 27478, 27482,
27483 & 27486 of 2019

KK Jee Agencies
Represented by its Proprietor
M.Natarajan ...Petitioner
in all WPs
vs.

The State Tax Officer
Sirkali Assessment Circle
Sirkali.
...Respondent

Writ Petitions filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Certiorari, calling for the records on the files of the respondent herein in TIN No.33284001915/2012-2013, 2013-2014, 2014-2015, 2015-2016 and 2016-2017 dated 25.03.2019 and quash the same.

For Petitioner in all W.P.s : Mr.K.Narayanan
for Mr.N.Inbarajan
For Respondent in all W.P.s : Mr.M.Hariharan
Additional Government Pleader (T)

WEB COPY
COMMON ORDER

In all these writ petitions, the petitioner is one and the same. The petitioner is aggrieved against the orders of assessment dated 25.03.2019 passed in respect of the assessment years 2012-2013 to 2016-2017.

2. Mr.M.Hariharan, learned Additional Government Pleader (Tax) takes notice for the respondent. By

consent of both the parties, these main writ petitions are taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself, since the issue involved in these case is covered by earlier decision rendered by this Court in a mis-match issue reported in JKM Graphics Solutions Vs. CTO, ([2017] 99 VST 343).

3. Heard both sides.

4. It is not in dispute that the only issue involved in the impugned orders of assessment is mis-match.

5. The learned Additional Government Pleader (Tax) fairly submitted that the impugned orders of assessment were not passed in consonance with the observations and directions issued in JKM Graphics case, where, while disposing a batch of cases arising out of mis-match issue, this Court has issued certain directions to be followed by the Assessing Officer before passing the orders of assessment arising out of mis-match issue. The learned Additional Government Pleader further submitted that the matter may be remitted back to the Assessing Officer to reconsider the whole issue by following the directions issued in JKM Graphics case.

6. Considering the above stated facts and circumstances and in view of the admitted position that the mis-match issue involved in these case has not been dealt with in accordance with the directions issued in JKM Graphics case, without expressing any view on the merits of the matter, I am inclined to set aside the impugned orders of assessment and remit the matter back to the Assessing Officer to redo the assessment by following the procedures/guidelines/directions issued in JKM Graphics case.

7. Accordingly, these Writ Petitions are allowed and the impugned orders of assessment are set aside. Consequently, the matter is remitted back to the respondent/Assessing Officer to redo the assessment by following the guidelines/directions issued in JKM Graphics case. The whole exercise shall be done by the respondent/Assessing Officer as expeditiously as possible. Connected miscellaneous petitions are closed. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CS)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar


mk

To
The State Tax Officer
Sirkali Assessment Circle
Sirkali.

+1cc to Mr.N.Inbarajan , Advocate SR.No. 79465
+1 cc to Spl Government Pleader Sr.No. 80342

W.P.Nos.27476, 27478, 27482,
27483 & 27486 of 2019
and W.M.P.Nos.26963, 26965, 26966,
26970 & 26971 of 2019

A.SK(20/09/2019)



WEB COPY