IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 17.09.2019

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.S.RAMESH

Crl.O.P.No.24940 of 2019

K.Iyyappan ... Petitioner

Vs.

State rep by its,
The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Thudiyaloor,
Coimbatore District. ... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. praying to direct the respondents not to harass the petitioner and Snooker Shot Association members except due process of law.

For Petitioner :Mr.G.Mohammed Aseef

For Respondent :Mr.C.Iyyapparaj
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

It is the grievance of the petitioner that the respondent police have been harassing him under the guise of an enquiry/investigation and hence, has invoked the inherent powers of this Court under Section 482 of Cr.P.C.

2.An enquiry into a non cognizable offence or a cognizable offence is the unfettered powers of the Investigation Officers so long as the power to investigate/enquire into these offences are legitimately exercised within the frame work of Chapter XII of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Though the Code of Criminal Procedure empowers the Magistrate to be a guardian in all the stages of the police investigation, there is no power envisaging him to interfere with the actual investigation or the mode of investigation. It is in this background that numerous petitions complaining of harassment are being reported and filed before this Court seeking for directions to refrain the police officials from harassing the persons named in a complaint.

WEB COPY

https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/

3.This Court, exercising its power under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code normally would not interfere with the investigation conducted by a police officer. Nevertheless, it would also not turn a blind eye to instances of harassment by the police under the guise of investigation is brought to its notice.

4. It is seen that in some cases, the investigation pending before the Police has been stayed by Court orders. It is needless to point out that in cases of this nature, the respondent Police will not be entitled to even proceed with the investigation and therefore, the petitioner may not have an apprehension of harassment in the hands of the Police.

5.In the present case in hand, the petitioner has complained of harassment by the police based on a complaint and seeks for this Court's intervention by way of a direction. The term 'harassment' by itself has a very wide meaning and hence, what could be harassment to the petitioner may not be the same to the police officer.

6.In order to circumvent such situations, the following guidelines are issued:

a)While summoning any persons named in the complaint or any witness to the incident complained of, the police officer shall summon such persons through a written summon under Section 160 Cr.P.C., specifying a particular date and time for appearing before them for such an enquiry/investigation.

b)The minutes of the enquiry shall be recorded in the general diary/station diary/daily diary of the police station.

c)The police officer shall refrain himself or herself from harassing persons called upon for enquiry/investigation.

d)The guidelines stipulated for preliminary enquiry or registration of FIR by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Lalita Kumari Vs. Government of Uttar Pradesh and others [2014 (2) SCC (1)] shall be strictly adhered to.

7. With the above observations and direction, the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed.

WEB COPY

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/

jas/hvk

To

1.The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Thudiyaloor,
Coimbatore District.

2.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

+1cc to M/s.G.Mohammed Aseef, Advocate Sr.80165

Crl.O.P.No.24940 of 2019

rsi[co]
srg 24/10/2019

WEB COPY

https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/