

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Fifth day of September Two Thousand Nineteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice C.V. KARTHIKEYAN

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.23179 of 2019

1 V.MUNUSAMY
2 G.SUBRAMANI

[PETITIONERS / ACCUSED]

Vs

STATE REP BY,
INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ARAKKONAM TOWN POLICE STATION,
VELLORE DISTRICT.
CR.NO. 193 OF 2019.

[RESPONDENT]

For Petitioner : M/S.M.MURALI Advocate

For Respondent : MR.T.SHUNMUGARAJESWARAN Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 457 and 380 of IPC, in Crime No.193 of 2019, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 04.03.2019, the petitioners have broken the door of the TASMAC shop situated at Padur Road, Kumminipeet, Arakkonam, and they robbed a sum of Rs.1,39,340/-, which was kept in the safety locker of the TASMAC. Hence the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they are no way connected with the alleged offences. The petitioners name have been falsely implicated by the respondent police without any proper enquiry. Hence he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that the petitioners have robbed a sum of Rs.1,39,340/- which was kept in the safety locker of the TASMAC. The investigation is not yet completed and if the petitioner is granted anticipatory bail, there is a possibility that he will tamper the witnesses and hamper the investigation. He would further submit the petitioners have committed serious offences and hence he vehemently opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

<https://www.mca.gov.in/services>

5. Taking into consideration the allegations and also the bad antecedent of the petitioners and the fact that the case is in the initial stage of the investigation and that the custodial interrogation of the petitioners are essential, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

-sd/-

05/09/2019

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ARAKKONAM TOWN POLICE STATION,
VELLORE DISTRICT.

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

CC to M/S.M.MURALI Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.23179/2019

Date :05/09/2019

RD 21/10/2019

WEB COPY