

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 14.03.2019

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

Crl.O.P.No.3051 of 2017
and Crl.M.P.Nos.2212 & 2213 of 2017

1.Kumar
2.Ramani Ramadass
3.Ranjani
4.Chandrasekar @ Sethu ... Petitioners/Accused 2 to 5

Vs.

Rajalakshi ...Respondent/Complainant

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., to call for the records in D.V.A.No.4 of 2017 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.III, Puducherry and quash the same.

For Petitioners : Mr.V.V.Sairam

For Respondent : Mr.R.Y.George William

ORDER

This petition has been filed to quash the Domestic Violence Complaint given by the respondent herein in D.V.A.No.4 of 2017, on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.III, Puducherry.

2.The petitioners are in-laws of the respondent and the marriage between A1/R.Srinivasan and the respondent Viz., Rajalakshi was solemnized on 04.09.2016. Thereafter, due to matrimonial disputes the respondent and her husband were living separately from the matrimonial home. Under this circumstance, the respondent herein filed a petition under Domestic Violence Act in D.V.A.No.4 of 2017, on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.III, Puducherry and implicated her husband and the petitioners as parties to the petition and sought action as against them under Domestic Violence Act. The said D.V.A.No.4 of 2017 is pending for trial. At this stage, the petitioners herein who are the in-laws of the respondent pray to quash the proceedings in D.V.A.No.4 of 2017.

3.Heard, the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned counsel for the respondent.

4.It is seen that the relief sought for by the respondent in the domestic violence case with regard to residential rights, compensation, etc., can be made and claimed as against her husband, who is already a party in that case. The petitioners herein are only in-laws of the respondent and they are living separately. As such, the protection order sought for by the respondent herein in the domestic violence case against these petitioners/in-laws, based on the allegations, cannot be maintained, in view of the fact that the allegations of harassment meted out by the petitioners against the respondent itself seems to be false. While that being so, there cannot be any act of any domestic violence as defined under Domestic Violence Act against these petitioners. In the absence of the same, the proceedings as against these petitioners cannot be maintained and consequently, the petitioners need not undergo the ordeal of facing a criminal trial.

5.In view of the above, this Court is inclined to quash the proceedings in D.V.A.No.4 of 2017, on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.III, Puducherry, insofar as these petitioners are concerned. Insofar as, A1/husband of the respondent is concerned, since the impugned proceedings in D.V.A.No.4 of 2017 is pending from the year 2017 onwards, it would be appropriate to direct the trial Court to complete the trial within a period of six months from the date of receipt of copy of this order. A1/husband of the respondent is directed to appear before the trial Court on the next hearing date, failing which, the respondent is at liberty to approach this Court.

6.In the result, this Criminal Original Petition stands allowed. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

सत्यमेव जयते

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(J)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar


To

The Judicial Magistrate No.III,
Puducherry.

+lcc to Mr.V.V.Sairam, Advocate Sr.23999

Crl.O.P.No.3051 of 2017
and Crl.M.P.Nos.2212 & 2213 of 2017

srg 11/04/2019



WEB COPY