

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 11.11.2019

Coram

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice M.M.SUNDRESH
and
The Hon'ble Mr. Justice RMT. TEEKAA RAMAN

H.C.P. No. 1812 of 2019

Sanjala

... Petitioner/Mother of the detenue

-vs-

1.The State of Tamil Nadu
Rep. by the Secretary,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St.George,
Chennai - 600 009.

2.The Commissioner of Police,
The Greater Chennai City,
Vepery, Chennai - 600 007.

... Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Habeas Corpus calling for the records relating to the detention order in Memo No.397/BCDFGISSSV/2019, dated 12.07.2019 passed by the second respondent under the Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982 and set aside the same and direct the respondent to produce petitioner's son Indiramani @ Appu, S/o. Saravanan, aged about 22 years the detenu, now confined in Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai, before this Court and set the petitioner's son Indiramani @ Appu, S/o. Saravanan, aged about 24 years, the detenu herein at liberty.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.V.Somasundaram

For Respondents : Mr.R.Prathap Kumar
Addl. Public Prosecutor

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by M.M.SUNDRESH, J.]

The petitioner is the mother of the detenu, Indiramani @ Appu, S/o. Saravanan, aged about 22 years. The detenu has been detained by the second respondent by his order in Memo No.397/BCDFGISSSV/2019, dated 12.07.2019, holding to be a "Goonda", as contemplated under Section 2(f) of Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982. The said order is under challenge in this Habeas Corpus Petition.

2. We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents and we have also perused the records carefully.

3. Though learned counsel for the petitioner has raised several other grounds to assail the order of detention, he has mainly focused his argument on the ground that the detaining authority, while detaining the detenu, has not furnished the legible copy of the document relied on by him. This deprived the detenu from making effective representation. Therefore, on this sole ground, the detention order is liable to be quashed.

4. On consideration of the submissions made on either side and upon perusal of the documents available on record, especially Page No.229 of the booklet, it is clear that the detaining authority, by providing illegible copy of the document, has taken away the rights of detenu to effectively defend himself against his detention. Thus the impugned detention order is liable to be set aside on this ground.

5. In the result, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the order of detention in Memo No.397/BCDFGISSSV/2019, dated 12.07.2019 passed by the second respondent is set aside. The detenu, namely, Indiramani @ Appu, S/o. Saravanan, aged about 22 years, is directed to be released forthwith unless his detention is required in connection with any other case.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

mni/ssm

To

1.The Secretary,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St.George,
Chennai - 600 009.


2.The Commissioner of Police,
The Greater Chennai City,
Vepery, Chennai - 600 007.

3.The Superintendent,
Central Prison,Puzhal,Chennai.

4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

H.C.P. No. 1812 of 2019

nmi(co)
nr 19/12/2019



WEB COPY