

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 04.11.2019

Coram

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice M.M.SUNDRESH
and
The Hon'ble Mr. Justice RMT. TEEKAA RAMAN

H.C.P. No. 1741 of 2019

Sasikumar

... Petitioner

-vs-

1.The Secretary to the Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat, Chennai - 600 009.

2.The Commissioner of Police,
Greater Chennai.

... Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Habeas Corpus calling for the records in connection with the order of detention passed by the second respondent dated 23.07.2019 in No.420/BCDFGISSSV/2019 the petitioner Sasikumar, male, aged 23 years, S/o. Irudhyaraj, who is confined at Central Prison, Vellore and set aside the same and direct the respondents to produce the detenu before this Court and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner : Mr.D.Balaji

For Respondents : Mr.R.Prathap Kumar
Addl. Public Prosecutor

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by M.M.SUNDRESH, J.]

The petitioner, Sasikumar, S/o. Irudhyaraj, male, aged 23 years, is the detenu. The detenu has been detained by the second respondent by his order in No.420/BCDFGISSSV/2019 dated 23.07.2019, holding to be a Goonda, as contemplated under Section 2(f) of Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982. The said order is under challenge in this Habeas Corpus Petition.

2. We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents and we have also perused the records carefully.

3. Though learned counsel for the petitioner has raised several other grounds to assail the order of detention, he has mainly focused his argument on the ground that the detaining authority, while detaining the detenu, has not furnished the legible copy of the document relied on by him and the remand order has not been properly translated in vernacular language. This deprived the detenu from making effective representation. Therefore, on this sole ground, the detention order is liable to be quashed.

4. On consideration of the submissions made on either side and upon perusal of the documents available on record, especially Page Nos. 222 and 224 of the booklet, it is clear that the detaining authority, by providing illegible copy of the document, has taken away the rights of detenu to effectively defend himself against his detention. Apart from that, the remand order has not been properly translated in vernacular language. Thus the impugned detention order is liable to be set aside on this ground.

5. In the result, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the order of detention in No.420/BCDFGISSSV/2019 dated 23.07.2019 passed by the second respondent is set aside. The detenu, namely, Sasikumar, S/o. Irudhyaraj, male, aged 23 years, is directed to be released forthwith unless his detention is required in connection with any other case.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-VI)

// True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

mmi/ssm

To

1. The Secretary to the Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat, Chennai - 600 009.

2.The Commissioner of Police,
Greater Chennai.

3.The Superintendent of Prison
Central Prison,Vellore.

4.The Joint Secretary to Government,
Public (Law and Order),
Fort St.George, Chennai-09.

5.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

H.C.P. No. 1741 of 2019

SSD (CO)
CSR(18/12/2019)



WEB COPY