

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Twenty Fifth day of July Two Thousand Nineteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice G.K. ILANTHIRAIYAN

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.19924 of 2019

MARIA ARUL ROSE

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

Vs

THE STATE REP.
BY INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
W-35, TAMBARAM,
ALL WOMENS POLICE STATION,
CHENNAI.
CR.NO.23 OF 2019.

[RESPONDENT]

For Petitioner : M/S.RAJA RAVI VARMA S. Advocate

For Respondent : MRS. M.PRABHAVATHI, Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 498(A) & 506(i) of IPC in Crime No.23 of 2019, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is none other the wife of the petitioner, the defacto complainant Mrs.Jayashree had preferred the complaint to the respondent alleging that the petitioner herein is having illegal relationship and had abused, threatened and misbehaved with the defacto complainant for which the present complaint. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person. Therefore, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that there was a family dispute between the husband and wife. However, she vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5.Considering the above fact and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Tambaram on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

-sd/-

25/07/2019

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>
TAMBARAM.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
CHENGALPATTU. [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.


4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
W-35, TAMBARAM,
ALL WOMENS POLICE STATION,
CHENNAI.

+1 CC to RAJA RAVI VARMA S. Advocate on payment of necessary
charges SR.NO.15349

CRL OP.19924/2019

Date :25/07/2019

TA-01/08/2019



WEB COPY