

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 15.10.2019

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI

W.P.No.22532 of 2019

A.Raja

...Petitioner

vs.

1.The Principal Chief Conservator of Forests,
(Head of Forest Department),
Chennai - 600 015.

2.The Principal Accountant - General (A & E),
Tamil Nadu, Chennai - 600 018. ...Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India, seeking for the issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, to direct the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioner for revision of his pensionary benefits (PPO No.R2108444/FOR) by taking into account his last drawn pay at Rs.23,350 in the Scale of Pay of Rs.9,300 - 34,800 plus Grade Pay Rs.5,400/- instead of G P of Rs.4,900/- and pass orders thereon within a time frame, in the light of his representation dated 01.10.2018.

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Kumaravel
for Mr.M.Ravi

For Respondents : Mr.M.Elumalai
Government Advocate

ORDER

The Writ Petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking direction to direct the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioner for revision of his pensionary benefits (PPO No.R2108444/FOR) by taking into account his last drawn pay at Rs.23,350 in the Scale of Pay of Rs.9,300 - 34,800 plus Grade Pay Rs.5,400/- instead of G P of Rs.4,900/- and pass orders thereon within a time frame, in the light of his representation dated 01.10.2018.

2.The case of the petitioner is that the petitioner is entered into the service as Forester in the year 1980 and promoted as Forest Range Officer in the year 2000. After rendering 33 years of service, the petitioner retired on 31.05.2013. The grievance of the petitioner is that one Mr.C.Mithran Jothi Pandian, who entered the service as

Forester in the year 1982 and promoted as Forest Ranger in the year 2009, who is junior to the petitioner and there was anomaly between them in the salary as well as the pension. The petitioner made a representation to the respondent, till date, the representation was not considered. Hence, the present writ petition filed before this Court.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that it would suffice if this Court issues direction to the first respondent to consider the representation dated 01.10.2018 submitted by the petitioner and to dispose of the same within a reasonable time as fixed by this Court.

4.Without going into the merits of the case, I am inclined to issue direction to direct the first respondent to consider the representation dated 01.10.2018 and pass orders on merits and in accordance with law within a period of 12 weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

5.With the above direction, the Writ Petition stands disposed of. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-V)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

pam

To

1.The Principal Chief Conservator of Forests,
(Head of Forest Department),
Chennai - 600 015.

2.The Principal Accountant - General (A & E),
Tamil Nadu, Chennai - 600 018.

+1cc to Mr.Hema Muralikrishnan, Standing Counsel, S.R.No. 86209

+1cc to Mr.M.Ravi, Advocate, S.R.No. 86293

+1cc to the Special Government Pleader(Taxes), S.R.No. 86602

W.P.No.22532 of 2019

MR(CO)
GN(22/11/2019)