

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED :25.07.2019

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE Mr. JUSTICE V.PARTHIBAN

W.P.No.21953 of 2019

M.Mani

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. By its Secretary to Government
Department of School Education
Secretariat, St. George Fort,
Chennai - 600 009.

2.The Director
School Education Department,
DPI Campus, Nungambakkam
Chennai - 600 034.

3.The Chief Educational Officer,
District Collector Office,
Collectorate, Sathuvachari
Vellore - 632 009.

4.The District Educational Officer,
District Educational Office,
Thirupattur,
Vellore District.

5.The Block Development Officer,
Block Educational Office,
Kandhili, Tirupattur Taluk,
Vellore District.

6.M.Murugammal

7.Aadhavan

8.G.Sivakumar

9.Ravichandran

10.K.Sudha

... Respondents

Prayer: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying direction the respondents 1 to 5 to consider the Respondents 1 to 5 to consider the petitioner's representation dated 12.03.2019 and consequently to take appropriate action against the respondents 6 to 10 herein and pass such further order.

For Petitioner : Mr.C.S.Vijayakumar

For RR 1 to 5 : Mr.K.Karthikeyan

Government Advocate

ORDER

According to the petitioner, his son is married to 6th respondent, who is working as Teacher with the Muthampatty Panchayat Union Elementary School, Kanthil Union, Tirupattur Taluk, Vellore District. According to him, the 6th respondent has got her appointment as Teacher by using forged certificates. According to the petitioner, respondents 7 to 10 have colluded with the 6th respondent and obtained forged certificates and on the basis of which, she got her appointment as Teacher and therefore, the petitioner seeking a direction before this Court directing the respondents 1 to 5 to consider his representation dated 12.03.2019 and take appropriate action against respondents 6 to 10.

2.From the above averments, it is clear that the petitioner is trying to drag this Court into a family dispute and seeking a blanket direction to take action against respondents 6 to 10. Obviously, the petitioner has axe to grind as against the 6th respondent who happen to be a daughter-in-law and in order to settle his personal scores has come up with the present litigation invoking the extraordinary jurisdiction of this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

3.The constitutional jurisdiction of this Court is not meant for settling the personal scores of the petitioner and the 6th respondent and this Court is of the view that it is a gross abuse of process of this Court such a litigation has been conceived and filed.

4.In the above circumstances, this Court is of the view that the writ petition is motivated and lacks merit and hence, the same is dismissed. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CS VI)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1.The Secretary to Government
The State of Tamil Nadu,
Department of School Education
Secretariat, St. George Fort,
Chennai - 600 009.

2.The Director
School Education Department,
DPI Campus, Nungambakkam
Chennai - 600 034.

3.The Chief Educational Officer,
District Collector Office,
Collectorate, Sathuvachari
Vellore - 632 009.

4.The District Educational Officer,
District Educational Office,
Thirupattur,
Vellore District.

5.The Block Development Officer,
Block Educational Office,
Kandhili, Tirupattur Taluk,
Vellore District.

+1cc to Mr.C.S.Vijayakumar, Advocate Sr.63975

W.P.No.21953 of 2019

nr[co]
srg 19/09/2019

सत्यमेव जयते
WEB COPY