

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 18.01.2019

C O R A M

THE HON'BLE Mr.JUSTICE ABDUL QUDDHOSE

C.R.P.(NPD) No.4222 of 2013 &
M.P.Nos.1 of 2013 & 1 of 2014 &
CMP.No.21304 of 2013

Sekar

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.Kuppammal
2.Thirumal @ Venkatesan
3.Jayavel

... Respondents

PRAYER: Civil Revision Petition filed under Section 115 of the Code of Civil Procedure against the order dated 12.09.2013 in I.A.No.228 of 2013 in O.S.No.323 of 2011 on the file of the Principal District Munsif, Tiruvannamalai.

For Petitioner ... No appearance

For Respondent 1 ... Mr.N.Kumar Rajan

For Respondent 2 ... No appearance

ABDUL QUDDHOSE. J,

nl

ORDER

There is no representation on the side of the petitioner. The learned counsel for the first respondent represented that the instant Civil Revision Petition has been filed challenging the order of dismissal of an application filed by the petitioner to set aside the ex parte order. According to him, subsequent to the order setting the petitioner ex parte, an ex parte decree has also been passed against the petitioner and therefore, the instant Civil Revision Petition has now become infructuous and nothing survives for further adjudication. He has also made an endorsement to that effect in the court bundle. Accordingly, the Civil Revision Petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs. Consequently connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

18.01.2019

Index : Yes / No

Speaking/Non-Speaking orders

nl

To

The Principal District Munsif, Tiruvannamalai.

CRP (NPD) No.4222 of 2013