

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRASDATED: **05.07.2019**

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ABDUL QUDDHOSE**C.R.P.(PD)No.3473 of 2014**
and MP.No.1 of 2014

J.Mohanasundaram

...Petitioner

vs.

1.R.Sekar

2.Smt.Yogalakshmi

...Respondents

Prayer: Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, against order dated 24.07.2014 made in M.P.No.98 of 2014 in R.C.A.No.64 of 2012 On the file of VIII Court of small causes (Rent Control Appellate Authority) at Chennai.

For Petitioner : No appearance

For Respondent : Mr.K.Ramu

ORDER

The matter is listed under the caption "for dismissal" today. There is no representation on the side of the petitioner. The learned counsel for the respondent is present. Even on the last two occasions on 07.06.2019 and 28.06.2019, there was no representation on the side of the petitioner. It can now be inferred that the petitioner is not interested in prosecuting this revision petition.

pam

2. Accordingly, the Civil Revision Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. However, there shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.


05.07.2019

Index: Yes/No
Internet: Yes/No
Speaking Order/Non-Speaking Order
pam

C.R.P.(PD)No.3473 of 2014
and MP.No.1 of 2014

To

The VIII Court of small causes
(Rent Control Appellate Authority) at Chennai.



WEB COPY