

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 22.10.2019

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.PONGIAPPAN

Civil Revision Petition (PD) No.2426 of 2014

and

M.P.No.1 of 2014

1. Aswatha Reddy
2. Sreenivasa Reddy
3. Chinnamma @ Lalithamma
4. Vasantha
5. Amaravathi
6. Sakunthala
7. Padma
8. Prabavathy

Petitioners

Vs

1. Hanumaiah
2. Anjamma
3. Sunandamma
4. Narayanamma
5. Shankar Reddy
6. Shanthamma
7. Geetha
8. Narayana Reddy
9. Chandra Reddy
10. Raja Reddy
11. Krishna Reddy
12. Hanuma Reddy
13. Venkatamma
14. Krishna Reddy
15. Anjina Reddy
16. Chinnamma
17. Yasodha
18. Nalina
19. Muni Thayamma
20. Rama Reddy
21. Gopala Reddy
22. Govinda Reddy
23. Venkata Reddy
24. Krishna Reddy
25. Chandra Reddy

- 26.Muniswamy Reddy
- 27.Muni Reddy
- 28.Prabakar Reddy
- 29.Suseelamma
- 30.Chinnamma
- 31.Suseelamma
- 32.Minor Arekha
- 33.Minor Anitha
- (Minor respondents 32 & 33 are rep by guardian mother Suseelamma (31st respondent)
- 34.Nagaraj Reddy
- 35.Jayarama Reddy
- 36.Ramesh Reddy
- 37.Subramanya Reddy
- 38.Sathya Narayana Reddy
- 39.Sreenivasa Reddy
- 40.Parvathamma
- 41.Sasikala
- 42.Munireddy
- 43.Ragu
- 44.Venkatesh Reddy
- 45.Anjin Reddy
- 46.Harish Reddy
- 47.Yashodhamma
- 48.Krishna Reddy
- 49.Manjula
- 50.Murugesh Reddy
- 51.Narayana Reddy
- 52.Aruna
- 53.Papamma
- 54.Anjina Reddy

Respondents

PRAYER: Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India to set aside the Fair and Decreetal order dated 24.01.2014 passed in I.A No.497 of 2013 in O.S.No.31 of 2012, on the file of Subordinate Court, Hosur.

For Petitioners : Mr.G.Vigneshwar for Mr.V.Nicholas and
Mr.N.E.A.Dinesh

For Respondents : For R1 to R5, R7 to R11 -Mr.J.Nandagopal
For R6, R12 to R54 - No appearance

ORDER

The Civil Revision Petition has been preferred against the order dated 24.01.2014 passed in I.A No.497 of 2013 in O.S.No.31 of 2012, by the learned Subordinate judge, Hosur.

2. Today when the petition came up for hearing, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners made a submission that the prayer sought for by the petitioners in this Civil Revision Petition became infructuous. In this regard, he has also made an endorsement. The submission made by the learned counsel is recorded.

3. In view of the above submission made by the learned counsel and considering the fact that nothing survives for further adjudication in the revision petition, this Civil Revision petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

WEB COPY

22.10.2019

vrn

To

The Subordinate Court, Hosur.

R.PONGIAPPAN, J.,

vrn



Civil Revision Petition (PD) No.2426 of 2014
and
M.P.No.1 of 2014

WEB COPY

22.10.2019