

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 15.10.2019

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M. DURAISWAMY

C.R.P.(PD) Nos.2334 to 2336 of 2014 &

M.P.No.1 of 2014 in

C.R.P.No.2334 of 2014

P.Rampamukh Reddy @ Rambabu

... Petitioner in all CRPs

1. P.Bhavani

2. Santhanakrishnan

3. Srinivasan

4. Narayanaswami

(Defendants 3 and 4 already set ex parte
in the suit. Hence, they are not necessary
parties in the CRPs)

... Respondents

CRP (PD) No.2334 of 2014 : Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, against the order and decretal order dated 26.03.2004 in I.A.No.4108 of 2014 in O.S.No.6928 of 2011 on the file of the XI Assistant Judge, City Civil Court, Chennai.

CRP (PD) No.2335 of 2014 : Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, against the order and decreetal order dated 26.03.2004 in I.A.No.4109 of 2014 in O.S.No.6928 of 2011 on the file of the XI Assistant Judge, City Civil Court, Chennai.

CRP (PD) No.2336 of 2014 : Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, against the order and decreetal order dated 26.03.2004 in I.A.No.4107 of 2014 in O.S.No.6928 of 2011 on the file of the XI Assistant Judge, City Civil Court, Chennai.

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Sunil
(in all CRPs) for Mr. T.Viswanatha Rao

For Respondents : R1 & R2 - Not Ready-in-notice
(in all CRPs) R3 & R4 – Set exparate

COMMON ORDER

Challenging the fair and final orders passed in I.A.Nos.4107, 4108 and 4109 of 2014 in O.S.No.6928 of 2011 on the file of the XI Assistant Judge, City Civil Court, Chennai, the 1st defendant has filed the above Civil Revision Petitions.

2. When the Civil Revision Petitions are taken up for hearing, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the suit in O.S.No.6928 of 2011 itself was dismissed for non prosecution on 26.11.2018 and therefore, nothing survives for adjudication in these Civil Revision Petitions.

3. In view of the submission made by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, the above Civil Revision Petitions are dismissed as infructuous. However, it is open to the petitioner to revive the Civil Revision Petitions in the event of the suit in O.S.No.6928 of 2011 is restored to file. No costs. Consequently, the connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

15.10.2019

Index : Yes/No

Speaking Order/Non Speaking Order


Rj

To
The XI Assistant Judge,
City Civil Court,
Chennai,

Page 3 / 4

WEB COPY

M. DURAISWAMY,J.,
Rj



C.R.P.(PD)Nos.2334 to 2336 of 2014 &
M.P.No.1 of 2014 in
C.R.P.No.2334 of 2014

15.10.2019

WEB COPY