

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
DATED: 28.02.2019

CORAM:
THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

CRL.O.P.No. 16815 of 2014
and M.P.Nos. 1 & 2 of 2014

1.K.Bellam
2.K.Murugan
3.Lakshmiammal

... Petitioners

Vs.

Kannammal

...Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, to call for the records pertaining to M.C.No.1 of 2011 pending on the file of the Judicial Magistrate, Kotagiri and quash the same.

For Petitioners: Mr.Ma.P.Thangavel

For Respondent : No appearance

O R D E R

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed to quash the proceedings in M.C.No.1 of 2011, filed by the respondent herein, pending on the file of the Judicial Magistrate, Kotagiri.

2. The petitioners are in-laws of the respondent and the marriage between A1/K.Thiyagrajan and the respondent Viz., Kannammal was solemnized on 10.04.1983. Thereafter, due to matrimonial disputes the respondent and her husband were living separately from the matrimonial home. Under this circumstance, the respondent herein filed a petition under Domestic Violence Act in M.C.No.1 of 2011 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate, Kotagiri and implicated the petitioners as parties to the petition and sought action as against them under Domestic Violence Act. The said M.C.No.1 of 2011 is pending for trial. At this stage, the petitioners herein who are the in-laws of the respondent prayed to quash the proceedings in M.C.No.1 of 2011.

3. Heard Mr.Ma.P.Thangavel, learned counsel for the petitioners.

4. It is seen that the relief sought for by the respondent in the domestic violence case with regard to residential rights, compensation, etc., can be made and claimed as against her

husband, who is already a party in that case. The petitioners herein are only in-laws of the respondent and they are living separately. As such, the protection order sought for by the respondent herein in the domestic violence case against these petitioners/in-laws, based on the allegations, cannot be maintained, in view of the fact that the allegations of harassment meted out by the petitioners against the respondent itself seems to be false. While that being so, there cannot be any act of any domestic violence as defined under Domestic Violence Act against these petitioners. In the absence of the same, the proceedings as against these petitioners cannot be maintained and consequently, the petitioners need not undergo the ordeal of facing a criminal trial.

5. In view of the above, this Court is inclined to quash the proceedings in M.C.No.1 of 2011, on the file of the Judicial Magistrate, Kotagiri, insofar as these petitioners are concerned, on condition that, they shall ensure that the Al/husband of the respondent shall deposit a sum of Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand only) before 5th of every English Calendar month to the credit of M.C.No.1 of 2011, on the file of the Judicial Magistrate, Kotagiri, as ad-interim maintenance, without prejudice to both the parties, failing which this order shall stand automatically cancelled. On such deposit being made, the respondent is entitled to withdraw the same.

6. Insofar as Al/husband of the respondent is concerned, since the impugned proceedings in M.C.No.1 of 2011 is pending from the year 2011 onwards, it would be appropriate for this Court to direct the trial Court to complete the trial within a period of three months from the date of receipt of copy of this order. Al/husband of the respondent is directed to appear before the trial Court on the next hearing date, failing which, the respondent is at liberty to approach this Court.

7. In the result, this Criminal Original Petition stands allowed. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

nl

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate,
Kotagiri.

2.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

+lcc to Mr.Ma.Pa.Thangavel, Advocate, S.R.No.19421

CRL.O.P.No.16815 of 2014
and M.P.Nos.1 & 2 of 2014

NRL (CO)

rrs 28/03/2019



WEB COPY