

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
DATED: 01.03.2019

CORAM:
THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

W.P.No.43223 of 2016 and
W.M.P.No.37055 of 2016

C.Murugesh @ Umarani

... Petitioner

/Vs/

1. The Under Secretary (Home)
Department of Home Affairs,
Fort St. George, Chennai.
2. The District Collector,
Office of the Collector, Chennai.
3. The Protection Officer,
District Social Welfare Office,
District Collector Office, Chennai.
4. The Social Welfare Officer,
District Social Welfare Office,
District Collector Office, Chennai.
5. P. Shanmugam
6. The Inspector of Police,
Law and Order,
F-4, Thousand Lights Police Station,
Chennai - 6.
7. The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Srirangam, Trichy City, Trichy District.

[R6 and R7 are impleaded as per order in
W.M.P.No.37496 of 2016 in W.P.No.43223 of 2016,
dated 21.12.2016] ... Respondents

Prayer : Writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus forbearing the 1st respondent and his control Police Officers or Subordinates or Police Authorities outside Chennai District from harassing the petitioner and her family members except police in Chennai District till the completion of enquiry by 3rd and 4th respondents and consequently to direct the 3rd and 4th respondents to call and hold enquiry with the 5th respondent in

the presence of petitioner and to take suitable action on 5th respondent.

For Petitioner : M/s I.Arockia Selvaraj

For RR 1 to 4, 6 and 7 : Mr.M.Mohamed Riyaz
Additional Public Prosecutor

For R5 : M/s A.Saravanan

O R D E R

The writ petition is filed by the petitioner seeking for forbearing the 1st respondent and his control Police Officers or Subordinates or Police Authorities outside Chennai District from harassing the petitioner and her family members except police in Chennai District till the completion of enquiry by 3rd and 4th respondents and consequently to direct the 3rd and 4th respondents to call and hold enquiry with the 5th respondent in the presence of petitioner and to take suitable action on 5th respondent.

2. Today, when the matter is taken up for hearing the learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the prayer of the writ petition has become infructuous.

3. Recording the above submission made by the learned Public Prosecutor, the prayer sought for in the writ petition has become infructuous. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

msm

To

1.The Under Secretary (Home),
Department of Home Affairs,
Fort St. George, Chennai.

2.The District Collector,
Office of the Collector,
Chennai.

WEB COPY

3.The Protection Officer,
District Social Welfare Office,
District Collector Office, Chennai.

4. The Social Welfare Officer,
District Social Welfare Office,
District Collector Office, Chennai.

5. The Inspector of Police,
Law and Order,
F-4, Thousand Lights Police Station,
Chennai - 6.

6. The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Srirangam, Trichy City,
Trichy District.


7. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

+2ccs to Mr.I.Arockia Selvaraj, Advocate, S.R.No.19430
+1cc to Mr.A.Saravanan, Advocate, S.R.No.19756

W.P.No.43223 of 2016

SSI (CO)

RRS (12/04/2019)



WEB COPY