

In the High Court of Judicature at Madras

Dated : 26.3.2019

Coram :

The Honourable Mr.Justice T.S.SIVAGNANAM
and

The Honourable Mrs.Justice V.BHAVANI SUBBAROYAN

Writ Appeal Nos.2601 & 2602 of 2012 & MP.Nos.1 and 1 of 2012

- 1.Tamil Nadu Electricity Board,
rep.by its Chairman, 144,
Anna Salai, Chennai-2.
 - 2.The Chief Engineer, Planning &
Resource Centre, Tamil Nadu
Housing Board, 144, Anna
Salai, Chennai-2.
 - 3.The Executive Engineer, Operation
& Maintenance, Tamil Nadu
Electricity Board, Ariyalur.
 - 4.The Junior Engineer, Tamil Nadu
Electricity Board, T.Palur,
Jayamkondam, Ariyalur. ...Appellants in
both WAS
- Vs
- Centre for Rural Education and
Economic Development (CREED),
Krish Vigyan Kendra, rep.by its
Chairman V.Nadanasabapathy ...Respondent in
both WAS

APPEALS under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent against the common order dated 23.7.2012 passed in W.P.Nos.20393 and 20394 of 2011.

W.P.No.20393 of 2011:Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus to direct the respondents to convert the Electricity Service Connections in No.1532 under the address The Chairman CREED KVK Cholamadevi Jeyamkondam from tariff 2B to tariff applicable to agricultural pump sets.

W.P.No.20394 of 2011:

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus to direct the respondents to convert the Electricity Service Connection in No.1533 under the address The Chairman CREED KVK Udaya natham Jeyamkondam from Tariff 2B to tariff applicable to agricultural pump sets.

For Appellants : Mr.P.Gunaraj

For Respondent : Mr.A.Prasanna Venkatesan for
M/s.APR Associates

COMMON JUDGMENT

(Judgment was delivered by T.S.SIVAGNANAM,J)

We have heard Mr.P.Gunaraj, learned Standing Counsel for the appellants and Mr.A.Prasanna Venkatesan, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent.

2. These appeals have been directed against the common order dated 23.7.2012 passed in W.P.Nos.20393 and 20394 of 2011 filed by the respondent herein.

3. The learned Single Judge, by the impugned common order, directed the appellant Board to extend the benefit of Low Tension Tariff IV to the respondent herein - writ petitioner within a time frame.

4. The learned counsel for the respondent herein - writ petitioner submits that during the pendency of these appeals, the respondent herein applied for service connection under a different scheme namely Tatkal Self Financing Scheme and that they have been granted the service connection.

5. To substantiate the same, a copy of the proceedings dated 26.7.2018 and a copy of the meter card are produced before us. In the light of the above, the prayer sought for by the respondent herein in the said writ petitions no longer survives.

6. Accordingly, the above writ appeals are allowed and the common order passed in the said writ petitions are set aside for

the reason that the respondent herein has been granted service connection under the Tatkal Self Financing Scheme. It is made clear that the respondent herein will be entitled to enjoy the service connection under the said scheme. No costs. Consequently, the connected MPs are closed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS VI)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

- 1.The Chairman,
Tamil Nadu Electricity Board,
144, Anna Salai, Chennai-2.
- 2.The Chief Engineer, Planning &
Resource Centre, Tamil Nadu
Housing Board, 144, Anna
Salai, Chennai-2.
- 3.The Executive Engineer, Operation
& Maintenance, Tamil Nadu
Electricity Board, Ariyalur.
- 4.The Junior Engineer, Tamil Nadu
Electricity Board, T.Palur,
Jayamkondam, Ariyalur.

+1cc to M/S.APR Associates, Advocate Sr.28389

WA.Nos.2601 & 2602 of 2012
& MP.Nos.1 and 1 of 2012

pa[co]
srg 26/04/2019

WEB COPY