

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 04.02.2019

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE D.KRISHNAKUMAR

W.P.No.9314 of 2014
and
W.M.P. No.7110 of 2017

A.S. Kalaiarasan

... Petitioner

-Vs-

1. The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by its Secretary,
Education Department,
Fort St. George,
Chennai - 600 009.

2. The District Collector,
Office of the Collectorate,
Singaravelar Maaligai,
Rajaji Salai, 4th Floor,
Chennai - 600 001.

3. The Employment Officer,
Office of the Employment,
Anna Salai, Nandanam
Chennai - 600 035.

... Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of Writ of Mandamus directing the 3rd respondent to give employment opportunity to the petitioner for providing employment in the State Government or any private sector arising employment to the petitioner's registration No.N/4118/1985, X02.10 dated 19.03.1985.

For Petitioner : Mr. K. Jayaraman

For Respondents 1&2 : Mr. B. Anand
(Govt. Advocate)

For Respondent 3 : Mr. P. Kavitha
(Addl. Govt. Pleader)

O R D E R

According to the petitioner, the Corporation of Chennai notified 106 posts of sanitary Supervisor vacancies to District Employment Office (General) Santhome, Chennai. In the said notification, It has been specifically mentioned that S.S.L.C passed is the basic qualification for the afore-said post. The petitioner has made registration in the office of the Employment, Anna Salai, Nandanam. But, the third respondent has not forwarded his name to the Corporation of Chennai for the appointment of Sanitary Supervisor.

2. The learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondents would submit that the minimum qualification of the aforesaid post, the candidate should have passed S.S.L.C. But, the petitioner has not passed S.S.L.C. Hence, the petitioner's name has not been considered to the afore-said post.

3. Considering the afore-said fact that the petitioner's name has not sponsored by the third respondent since the petitioner has not possessed minimum qualification for passing of S.S.L.C. There is no dispute in the said fact. Hence, this Court cannot consider the relief sought for by the petitioner. Therefore, the contention of the petitioner is liable to be rejected. Further, the third respondent is directed to consider his name in future vacancies, otherwise he is eligible.

4. In the result, the writ petition is dismissed. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS VI)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

lbm
To:

1. The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by its Secretary,
Education Department,
Fort St. George, Chennai - 600 009.
2. The District Collector,
Office of the Collectorate,
Singaravelar Maaligai,
Rajaji Salai, 4th Floor,
Chennai - 600 001.

3. The Employment Officer,
Office of the Employment,
Anna Salai, Nandanam
Chennai - 600 035.

+1 cc to The Government Pleader, SR.No.9716
+2 cc to Mr.K.Jayaraman, Advocate SR.No.9391

W.P.No.9314 of 2014
and
W.M.P. No.7110 of 2017

PA (CO)
CSL/13.03.2019



WEB COPY