

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 24.09.2019

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE **R.PONGIAPPAN**

C.R.P.NPD.Nos.1243 & 1244 of 2014
and M.P.No. 1 of 2014

1. P.Balasubramanian (Died)
2. B.Gananambal
3. R.Deepadevi
4. S.Sabitha
5. H.Nandini

Petitioners 2 to 5 brought on record as legal representative of the deceased sole petitioner vide order dated 02.01.2017 by RMJ made in M.P. Nos.3 & 3 of 2015 in C.R.P.Nos. 1243 & 1244 of 2014

Petitioners 2 to 5 rep by their Power Agent R.Rajagopalan

.... Petitioners in both petitions

Vs

1. N.Srinivanan
2. S.Jagannathan

.... Respondents in both petitions

PRAYER in C.R.P.NPD.No.1243 of 2014: Civil Revision Petition is filed under Section 115 of C.P.C., to set aside the order dated 18.02.2014 passed in C.M.P.No.135 of 2014 in A.S.No.177 of 2008 by the learned VII Additional Judge of the City Civil Court at Chennai and consequently to allow the petition to appoint a well experienced advocate to inspect the suit property and to submit the report as

observed and directed by this Court, in its judgment dated 27.07.2013 in S.A.No.217 of 2013 filed in C.M.P.No.135 of 2014 in A.S.No.177 of 2008.

PRAYER in C.R.P.NPD.No.1244 of 2014: Civil Revision Petition is filed under Section 115 of C.P.C., to set aside the order dated 18.02.2014 passed in C.M.P.No.136 of 2014 in A.S.No.177 of 2008 by the learned VII Additional Judge of the City Civil Court at Chennai and consequently to allow the petition for rejecting the report dated 20.01.2014 of the Advocate Commissioner filed in C.M.P.No.136 of 2014 in A.S.No.177 of 2008.

For Petitioners in
both petitions : No appearance

For Respondents
in both petitions : Mr.B.Raj kishore

COMMON ORDER

On 13.09.2019, when these petitions were came up for hearing, there was no representation on the side of the petitioners. Hence, this Court directed the Registry to list both the petitions today, under the caption "For dismissal".

2. Today when these petition are came up for hearing, there was no representation on the side of the petitioners. The learned counsel appearing for the respondents alone is present.

3. Hence in view of the fact that the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners is regularly absent for arguing the matter, both the Civil Revision Petitions are dismissed as non prosecution. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed. No costs.

24.09.2019

Internet : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Speaking / Non Speaking order

rts

To


The VII Additional City Civil Court
Chennai.



WEB COPY

R.PONGIAPPAN, J.

rts



C.R.P.NPD.Nos.1243 & 1244 of 2014
and M.P.No. 1 of 2014

WEB COPY

24.09.2019