

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED :10.09.2019

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI

W.P.No.16378 of 2019

M.Natarajan

.. Petitioner

.Vs.

1.The Secretary to Government of India,
Ministry of Road Transport and Highways
Transport Bhavan, No.1, Parliament Street,
New Delhi - 110 001.

2.The Chief Engineer-cum-Regional Officer,
Ministry of Road Transport and Highways,
No.C-1-A, Rajaji Bhavan,
Besant Nagar, Chennai - 600 090.

.. Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus to issue suitable directions directing the 1st respondent to approve the recommendations made by the 2nd respondent in his proceedings No.22.1.2019 in No.RW-MD-PF(31)87 and dispose of the petitioner's representation dated 03.01.2019.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Sriram

For Respondents: ***Mr.G.Karthikeyan**

Additional Solicitor General of India

O R D E R

The prayer sought for in the Writ Petition is to issue directions to 1st respondent to approve the recommendations made by the 2nd respondent in his proceedings dated 22.1.2019 in No.RW-MD-PF(31)87 and dispose of the petitioner's representation dated 03.01.2019.

2.The case of the petitioner is that he was appointed as Draughtsman Grade-C on 12.03.1987 and subsequently he joined duty. The grievance of the petitioner is that the juniors of the petitioner were promoted and however he was not given any promotion. For which the petitioner sent various representations to the respondents while he was in service. Thereafter, he attained age of superannuation and retired from service on 30.09.2009. After retiring from service also he made various representations to the respondents and till now, the representations were not considered. On 22.01.2019 the

petitioner received a letter from the second respondent stating that the representation of the petitioner was forwarded to the first respondent for approval. Till now the representations of the petitioner were not considered properly and for which the petitioner has come out with the present Writ Petition.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner further submitted that it would be suffice to issue direction to the first respondent to consider the representation of the petitioner within the stipulated time.

4.The learned ***Additional Solicitor General of India** appearing for the respondents would concede the request made by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the limited request made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, I am inclined to issue direction to the first respondent to approve the recommendations made by the second respondent in his Proceedings dated 22.01.2019 in No.RW-MD-PF (31)87 and consider the representation of the petitioner dated 03.01.2019 and pass orders on the same within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

6.With the above direction, the Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs.
Krk

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar
Dated : 30/10/2019

***Corrected as per order of this Court
dated 27/01/2020 made in Rev.A(W)238/2019**

***Sd/-
Assistant Registrar (V) सत्यमेव जयते
Dated : 04/03/2020**

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

To
1.The Secretary to Government of India,
Ministry of Road Transport and Highways
Transport Bhavan,
No.1, Parliament Street,
New Delhi - 110 001.


**To be Substituted
to the order already
despatched on
18/11/2019**

2.The Chief Engineer-cum-Regional Officer,
Ministry of Road Transport and Highways,
No.C-1-A, Rajaji Bhavan,
Besant Nagar, Chennai - 600 090.

***+1cc to Mr.G.Karthikeyan, Additional Solicitor General of
India, High Court Madras SR.No.5524**

W.P.No.16378 of 2019

Kak(05/11/2019)
GMY(05/03/2020)



WEB COPY