

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 30.09.2019

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI

W.P.No.16708 of 2019

S.Beulah Chandrakala

... Petitioner

Vs.

- 1.The State of Tamil Nadu rep. by its
Principal Secretary,
Public Works Department,
Chennai.
- 2.The Engineer in Chief
W.R.D. Chief Engineer (General),
Public Works Department,
Chepauk, Chennai - 600 005.
- 3.The Executive Engineer,
The PWD Buildings,
Constructions and Maintenance Division,
Thirunelveli - 2.
- 4.The Executive Engineer,
Water Resources Organization,
Kodayar Basin Division,
Nagar Kovil - 629 001.

5.Maruthupandian

... Respondents

Prayer:

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the second respondent to include her name in the panel for the year 2014-2015 for post of Assistant

Draughtsman from work Inspector Grade-III above the fifth respondent name and subsequent promotion and pay back all service and monetary benefit.

For Petitioner : Mr.D.Charles Muthusanthan

For Respondents : Mr.R.S.Selvam
Government Advocate

O R D E R

The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the second respondent to include her name in the panel for the year 2014-2015 for post of Assistant Draughtsman from Work Inspector Grade-III above the fifth respondent name and subsequent promotion and to pay back all service and monetary benefits.

2.Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate.

3.The case of the petitioner is that in the year 2014-2015, a panel for the promotional post of Work Inspector Grade - III to Assistant Draughtsman was issued where her name was omitted for the post of Assistant Draughtsman and her junior's/ fifth respondent's

name was placed in the panel from Telephone Writer. For non consideration of the petitioner's name in the panel for the year 2014-2015, the petitioner has filed this writ petition.

4.The petitioner herself admitted that the panel was issued in the year 2014-2015, but there is no explanation for filing the writ petition after a lapse of nearly five years. In the absence of any proper explanation filing the writ petition after a lapse of nearly five years is un-sustainable.

5.The writ petition is dismissed on the ground of laches. No costs.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar (CS-V)

//True copy//

सत्यमेव जयते
Sub Assistant Registrar

pri

To

1.The Principal Secretary,
Public Works Department,
Chennai.

2.The Engineer in Chief
W.R.D. Chief Engineer (General),
Public Works Department,
Chepauk, Chennai - 600 005.

3.The Executive Engineer,
The PWD Buildings,
Constructions and Maintenance Division,
Thirunelveli - 2.

4.The Executive Engineer,
Water Resources Organization,
Kodayar Basin Division,
Nagar Kovil - 629 001.

+1cc to Mr.S.Ashokkumar, Advocate SR.No.83796

+1cc to Government Pleader SR.No.84571

W.P.No.16708 of 2019

MG(CO)
GMY(01/11/2019)



WEB COPY