

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

**DATED : 17.06.2019**

**CORAM**

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.SUBBIAH  
and  
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE C.SARAVANAN

Contempt Petition No.760 of 2019

T.Jayasudha  
W/o.S.Vajjiram

 $V_S$ 

G.Punniyakotti  
The Revenue Divisional Officer,  
Harur, Dharmapuri District. ... Respondent

Contempt Petition filed u/s.11 of the Contempt of Courts Act praying to punish the respondent for wilfully disobeying the order passed by this Court in W.P.No.27719 of 2018 dated 01.11.2018.

For Petitioner : Mr.G.Sankaran

For Respondents : Mr.M.Elumalai  
Government Advocate

\* \* \* \* \*

O R D E R

[Order was delivered by **R.SUBBIAH, J**]

The present Contempt Petition has been filed by petitioner alleging non-compliance of the order of this Court passed in W.P.No.27719 of 2018 dated 01.11.2018.

https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/2. Heard learned counsel for petitioner and learned

Government Advocate for respondent.

3. This Court, under orders in W.P.No.27719 of 2018 dated 01.11.2018, set aside the impugned proceedings of the respondent dated 12.09.2018 and remitted back the matter directing the respondent to consider the petitioner's application seeking issuance of Community Certificate to her and her children afresh after examining the relationship between the petitioner and B.Tamilmani, who is said to be petitioner's paternal uncle, by affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and pass appropriate orders within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of the order. This Court has also made it clear that the said order has been passed without expressing any opinion on the merits of the claim made by petitioner. Since the order has not been complied with within the stipulated period, petitioner has filed the present Contempt Petition.

4. When the matter is taken up for consideration, learned Government Advocate submits that the order of this Court has been complied with and the respondent has passed an order rejecting the petitioner's application.

5. On the other hand, learned counsel for petitioner submits that this Court has specifically directed the respondent to consider the petitioner's application taking into account the Community Certificate issued to her relative B.Tamilmani, which has also been verified by State Level Scrutiny Committee. However, the respondent, without

considering the Community Certificate issued to her relative, has rejected the petitioner's application.

6. Considering the submissions made, this Court is of the view that in compliance with the order of this Court, respondent has passed an order rejecting the petitioner's application. In such circumstance, the remedy for the petitioner is to challenge the said order in the manner known to law.

Accordingly, the Contempt Petition is closed. However, the petitioner is at liberty to challenge the order passed by the respondent, if he so desires.

SD/-  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR (COMM. CASES)

gm

//Certified to be true copy//

Dated at Madras this the day of 2019.

सत्यमेव जयते

COURT OFFICER (O.S.)

from 25<sup>th</sup> day of September 2008 the Registry is issuing Certified copies of the Orders/Judgments/Decrees in this format.

Sm/02/07/2019

To

The Revenue Divisional Officer,  
Haver, Dindigul District.