

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 26.03.2019

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

CRL.O.P.No.7991 of 2019

1.D.Arumugam
2.P.Satheesh Kumar
3.P.Udhayakumar

... Petitioners

Vs.

1. The Superintendent of Police,
District Police Office Complex,
Thiruvannamalai District,
Thiruvannamalai.
2. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Anti Land Grabbing Special Cell,
District Police Office Complex,
Thiruvannamalai District,
Thiruvannamalai.
3. The Inspector of Police,
Anti Land Grabbing Special Cell,
District Police Office Complex,
Thiruvannamalai District,
Thiruvannamalai.

4. M.Ashokan

...Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, to direct the respondent police not to harass the petitioners on the basis of the summons issued to him on 15.02.2019 directing the petitioners to appear for enquiry on 16.02.2019 and on subsequent dates.

For Petitioner : Mr.Gnanasekar

For Respondent : Mr.C.Raghavan

Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

O R D E R

This petition has been filed seeking direction to the respondents police not to harass these petitioners in connection with Crime No.Not known of 2019 pending on the file of Respondent Police.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the respondents police harassed the petitioner under the guise of enquiry.

3. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondents police submits that the complaint was given by de facto complainant to the first respondent and the summons has been issued to the petitioner on 15.02.2019 and the investigation is still pending.

4. Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioners and learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the respondents police.

5. It is the grievance of the petitioners that the respondent police have been harassing them under the guise of an enquiry/investigation and hence, has invoked the inherent powers of this Court under Section 482 of Cr.P.C.

6. An enquiry into a non cognizable offence or a cognizable offence is the unfettered powers of the Investigation Officers so long as the power to investigate/enquire into these offences are legitimately exercised within the frame work of Chapter XII of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Though the Code of Criminal Procedure empowers the Magistrate to be a guardian in all the stages of the police investigation, there is no power envisaging him to interfere with the actual investigation or the mode of investigation. It is in this background that numerous petitions complaining of harassment are being reported and filed before this Court seeking for directions to refrain the police officials from harassing the persons named in a complaint.

7. This Court, exercising its power under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code normally would not interfere with the investigation conducted by a police officer. Nevertheless, it would also not turn a blind eye to instances of harassment by the police under the guise of investigation is brought to its notice.

8. In the present case in hand, the petitioners have complained of harassment by the police based on a complaint and seek for this Court's intervention by way of a direction. The term 'harassment' by itself has a very wide meaning and hence, what could be harassment to the petitioners may not be the same to the police officer.

9. In order to circumvent such situations, the following guidelines are issued:

a) While summoning any person named in the complaint or any witness to the incident complained of, the police officer shall

summon such person through a written summon under Section 160 Cr.P.C., specifying a particular date and time for appearing before them for such an enquiry/investigation.

b)The minutes of the enquiry shall be recorded in the general diary/station diary/daily diary of the police station.

c)The police officer shall refrain himself or herself from harassing persons called upon for enquiry/investigation.

d)The guidelines stipulated for preliminary enquiry or registration of FIR by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Lalita Kumari Vs. Government of Uttar Pradesh and others [2014 (2) SCC (1)] shall be strictly adhered to.

10. With the above observations and direction, the Criminal Original Petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (Insp Cell)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

mpa/vsn

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
District Police Office Complex,
Thiruvannamalai District,
Thiruvannamalai.
2. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Anti Land Grabbing Special Cell,
District Police Office Complex,
Thiruvannamalai District,
Thiruvannamalai.
3. The Inspector of Police,
Anti Land Grabbing Special Cell,
District Police Office Complex,
Thiruvannamalai District,
Thiruvannamalai.
4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

+1cc to Mr.M.Gnanasekar, Advocate, S.R.No. 28673

CRL.O.P.No.7991 of 2019

VBA(CO)
GN(03/05/2019)