

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 03.07.2019

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE T.RAJA

Contempt Petition No.1746 of 2018

QLOUNGE

represented by its Proprietor
S.Lathifa Banu
No.127B, NS Complex 3rd Floor
Purasaiwalkam
Next to Lumbine Square Apartment
& Old Megala Theatre
Chennai 600 007

... Petitioner

-vs-

1. Karthikeyan, I.A.S.,
The Commissioner
Corporation of Chennai
Ripon Buildings
Chennai 600 003
2. A.K.Vishwanathan
Commissioner of Police
Vepery, Chennai 600 007
3. Rajendran
Dy.Commissioner of Police
Kilpauk Range
Kilpauk, Chennai 600 010
4. Surajudeen
Asst.Commissioner of Police
G5, Secretariat Colony Police Station
26/61, Barkha Road
Nammalwarpet, A.K.Swamy Nagar
Purasaiwakkam, Chennai 600 012
5. Rajeswari
Inspector of Police
G5, Secretariat Colony Police Station
26/61, Barkha Road
Nammalwarpet, A.K.Swamy Nagar
Purasaiwakkam, Chennai 600 012

6. Veerakumar
 Inspector of Police
 G1, Vepery Police Station
 2, Jeremiah Road, Vepery
 Chennai 600 007
 (Respondents 3 to 6 impleaded
 as per order dated 13.6.2019
 in Sub Apln.No.498 of 2018)

.. Respondents

Petition under Section 11 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 praying to punish the respondents for wilful disobedience of the order of this Court dated 9.4.2018 made in W.P.No.7909 of 2018.

For Petitioner :: Mr.M.Purushothaman
 For Respondents :: Mrs.P.Rajalakshmi
 Additional Government Pleader

ORDER

When the matter was taken up, Mrs.P.Rajalakshmi, learned Additional Government Pleader for the respondents, referring to the liberty granted by this Court to the respondents to visit the restaurant in question for violation of the rules, stated that only on the basis of the complaint received by the respondent police alleging that by taking advantage of the order passed by this Court, the writ petitioner-restaurant was selling hookah items, a team was formed headed by the fifth respondent/Inspector of Police and they have also visited the restaurant in

question and recovered the banned hookah items. She has also produced the copy of photograph in this regard.

2. A perusal of the same also clearly shows that the petitioner, after getting orders from this Court, has started selling the banned hookah items. As the respondents have acted only on the basis of the liberty granted by this Court and they have also registered a First Information Report in this regard, this Court is not inclined to proceed with the contempt proceedings, as there is no violation of the Court's order. Accordingly, the contempt petition stands dismissed.

SD/-

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR (COMM.CASES)

ss

//Certified to be true copy//

Dated at Madras this the 18th day of July 2019.

COURT OFFICER (O.S.)

from 25th day of September 2008 the Registry is issuing Certified copies of the Orders/Judgments/Decrees in this format.

Mv/18/07/2019